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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The fluid dynamics and heat transfer behavior of fluid flow through packed tube and channel is of special interest because of the wide applications of such geometry in chemical engineering processes. As the heat transfer coefficient from wall to air is small compared with those obtained for liquids, radial heat transfer in wall heated or cooled tubular reactors and other heat transfer equipments is very low. Although air is a fluid that provides easy operating conditions, direct use of heat recovered, and less corrosion and hence longer useful life for heat transfer equipment, low heat transfer rate creates a major drawback for air as a heat transporting fluid.

One of the best ways of increasing the heat transfer from wall to air is to introduce a suitable packing into the air passage. The packing cause mixing and prevents the build up of a slow moving layers of fluid next to the wall and therefore increases the wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient. This increase reaches a maximum at a certain ratio of packing to channel diameter and flow regime (1,2). This indicates the real effect of these two factors on the thermal performance of such system.
1.1 Enhanced heat transfer in packed duct and its applications

Packed ducts and tubes have been widely used in the chemical industry and for energy storage purposes. Due to their high performance, a number of investigations have been conducted in order to analyze the thermal behavior of packed channels (3,4). As early as 1931 Colburn (5) found that forced convection heat transfer through a packed tube is about eight times higher than that of an empty bed while recently it is reported as three times only (6). Experimental investigation of heat transfer in a rectangular heated channel has also shown a considerable increase in the wall-to-fluid heat transfer coefficient by using packing in the flow passage (1,2). The substantial increase in the heat transfer rate has been attributed to the mixing of fluid owing to the presence of the solid matrix. During the last five decades, experiment has been reported on the forced convection of air through cylindrical and annular packed columns with different duct to particle diameter ratio and different flow regimes (4,6).

Among the most common applications of a rectangular heated channel are heat exchangers, catalytic reactors, adsorption and desorption operations, automotive catalytic converters, nuclear reactors, solar air heat collectors, oil extraction and the manufacturing of numerous products in chemical industry.
These diverse applications have made it essential that the thermal engineering community focus its research interest on the fundamentals of packed bed processes. The accumulation impact of these studies is twofold: first to improve the performance of existing packed ducts media related thermal systems, and second to generate new ideas and explore new avenues with respect to the use of packing material in heat transfer applications.

1.2 Simulation of heat transfer in packed ducts

The simulation of heat transfer in a packed duct has been the subject of many recent studies, due to the increasing need of better understanding the associated transport process in a packed duct or tube (7,8,9,10). A major problem with such simulation is the difficulties associated with the prediction of the thermal behavior with respect to operating conditions, geometry of duct, size and type of packing. For such a simulation, a good mathematical model is needed, capable of accurately predicting the temperature profiles, given a certain packed channel design (such as length, width, particle diameter and shape) and operating conditions (such as gas flow rate, inlet temperature and pressure, and heat supplied). This is usually done by numerically solving the continuity and momentum equations together with the energy balance for the packed duct. To validate the mathematical model, the predicted temperature
profile and wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient are usually compared with the experimental results.

1.3 Effective parameters on heat transfer

1.3.1 Geometry of bed, size and type of packing

As the packing size decreases, the distance over which each mixing process occurs is decreased. On the other hand there are a large number of more or less stagnant films between the air and the packing that heat must cross in reaching the opposite wall. This shows the importance of carefully choosing the particle to channel diameter ratio and the flow regime.

Previous investigations on the effect of type and shape of packing on the thermal performance of a packed channel has shown that by choosing nontoxic, noncorrosive Raschig ring type of packing (hollow cylinder) the heat transfer rate can be increased considerably without excessive cost (I,2,II).

1.3.2 Flow regime and pressure drop

Transferring of heat to air flowing through a packed bed has been of industrial importance. The main reason behind this is the large surface area of the packing material and the better mixing of air which provides a rapid
increase of heat exchange. However, the higher heat transfer to the flowing air in any packed bed is associated with high pressure drop. It is necessary to ascertain that the pressure drop across the packed bed is large enough to ensure good flow distribution across the bed; On the other hand, it is necessary to keep the pressure drop very low so that energy spent in air pumping through the bed is low enough to make the system cost effective (11).

Recent studies have shown an increase in the overall heat transfer coefficient in packed channel within some limited range of \(Re\) (1, 6). It is of vital important to determine the increase of heat transfer within different flow regimes.

1.4 Relevant properties of air and packing particles

Raschig ring type of packing (hollow cylinders) made of hard plastic (PVC) is used as the packing material inside the flow passage for the present study. This type of packing is specially preferred to produce a reasonable pressure drop along the channel, beside its cheap cost. All the physical properties of air and solid packing are taken to be constant and calculated at the inlet temperature and pressure of the air provided that temperature and
pressure variations are insufficient to cause any considerable change in the properties of the medium.

1.5 Scope and objectives of this study

Literature review on heat transfer on packed beds reveals that the mathematical modeling in terms of the Nusselt number, Reynolds number, and packing to channel diameter ratio is the latest trend in this area.

To provide some experimental data, wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient has been measured in the packed bed with unequal wall temperatures. Steady state measurements were taken to investigate the effect of packing and the air flow rate on the heat transfer coefficient. Experimental results are used to validate the mathematical model and the analytical expression of the heat transfer coefficient. As the most of the existing studies are for cylindrical geometry, the property of the one sided heating is another unique side of this investigation beside the large scale of the experimental equipment used.

The primary objective of this work is to present an experimental study of forced convection heat transfer in a large scale packed rectangular duct with unequal wall temperatures, also to present a mathematical model to simulate the thermal behavior of such system and compare the calculated results with the experimental measurements. Very few studies on forced convection
through a packed rectangular channel is experimental, even fewer have compared modeling with experimental results.

The following items constitute the specific objectives of the experimental part of this work,

1. Measurement of air and wall temperatures at different positions.
2. Measurement of pressure drop caused by the presence of the packing material inside the channel.
3. Operate under different mass flow rate of air to explore the effect of flow rate on heat transfer rate.

The following items constitute the specific objectives of the modeling part of this work

1. For the mathematical model of air flow through a packed rectangular channel, a model that accurately predict the dynamic and thermal behavior of the system has been employed. The pressure drop was calculated by using a modified Ergun equation then by Using the finite difference the momentum equation was solved to determine the velocity field distribution.

2. The flow field was introduced into the energy equation and using implicit finite difference technique the temperature distribution was determined.
3. Calculated temperature distribution and wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient were compared with the ones obtained from the measurements. This accuracy check was the crucial evaluation of the mathematical model.
CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

The problem of thermally developing forced convection flow in a packed channel with asymmetric heating has been studied intensively during the last five decades. One of the pioneers in this area was Colburn (5) who reported in 1931 that wall-to-fluid heat transfer can be increased about eight times than in empty tubes by using packing inside the flow passage. Theoretical studies have shown that the geometry of bed and the size of packing are the two important parameters along with the flow conditions which will determine the increase in heat transfer. In terms of non dimensional groups this may be expressed as relationship between \( Nu \), \( Re \) and the ratio of packing size to equivalent diameter of the channel.

2.2 Background on previous experimental studies

2.2.1 Particle and channel size effects

The influence of the particle and channel diameter, as well as their ratio and the packing shape, on the thermal performance of packed tubes and channels has been studied in literature. This is usually done by changing the
packing size at the same channel diameter. It is worth noting that most of the existing experimental and theoretical studies are carried out under small scale dimensions, unlike the present work which to my knowledge is the first to be carried out in such a large scale experimental equipment.

Hwang et al (6) carried out an experimental study on forced convection in a packed rectangular channel with asymmetric heating using Freon-13 as the working fluid. The experiments were conducted in a channel of 660.4 mm length, 203.2 mm width and 304.8 mm depth, the cross-sectional flow area of the channel was 50.8 X 152.4 mm. Glass spheres of diameter ranging from 3-6.35 mm were used as the packing material. Both the heat flux to the wall and transverse temperature profiles inside the channel were measured. It was found that the Nu increase in the range of flow considered. It was also found that heat transfer in a packed channel is approximately three times higher than that in an empty channel.

Demirel (1), presented an experimental study on the influence of size and type of packing on the heat transfer rate in a rectangular packed empty channel with asymmetrical wall temperatures, in his study an experimental data on a 255 X80X 675 mm channel with different type and size of particle were presented. The maximum value of heat transfer coefficient of a packed
channel \( (h_p) \) was found to be at maximum when packing to channel diameter ratio \( (D_p/D_e) = 0.0975 \), while Smith (12) reported that it is maximum at \( D_p/D_e = 0.15 \) in a packed tube. In a similar study by Demirel and Kunc (2) it was also shown that \( (h_p) \) has a maximum at \( (D_p/D_e) = 0.15 \). According to these studies it was obvious that the packing size is an important factor, and heat transfer by convection dominate the other mechanism of conduction and radiation for the air flow rates and packing size considered in the measurements, no serious effect of packing material has been observed (1).

In an experimental work by Dixon (13), the effective thermal conductivity and apparent heat transfer coefficient for a packed tube were experimentally determined for beds of spheres, full cylinders and hollow cylinders, for tube to particle diameter ratios ranging from 5 to 12. Both the Peclet number \( (Pe) \) and the Biot number \( (B_i) \) showed significant dependence on tube to particle diameter ratio over the operating conditions considered.

Experiments conducted in a rectangular packed channel with an aspect ratio \( (width/height) \) greater than 4 are very rare in literature. While experimental studies conducted for effective channel to packing diameter ratio \( (D_e/D_p) \) of greater than 7 are reported in literature. As an example, Chou et al
Experiment (14) studied experimentally the effect of \( (D_e/D_p) \) on the thermal performance of a rectangular packed channel made of 65 cmX9.5 cmX9.5 cm stainless steel using water as a working fluid. The ratio of equivalent hydraulic diameter to sphere diameter significantly affects the Nusselt number when the Peclet number \( (Pe) \) is high, this was mainly attributed to the thermal dispersion effects (14).

Borking and Westerterp (15) studied experimentally the influence of the tube and particle diameter and shapes, as well as their ratio on the radial heat transfer in packed tube. It was found that the Bodestien number \( (Bo_h) \) for fully developed turbulent flow was strongly influenced by the shape of the packing (glass spheres, alumina cylinders, and alumina Raschig rings). For the same packing, no significant influence was found of the tube diameter on the effective radial conductivity.

The efficiency of packed air flow passage as function of packing diameter and shape has been studied by Choudary and Garg (11). In their study it was clear that smaller diameter of packing result in higher efficiency as well as large pumping cost of air, however, in air heaters with ring-shaped, there seems no much rise of pumping power cost.
The above brief survey on channel and packing size effect reveals that channel and packing size as well as their ratio must be taken into consideration in the design of a packed heated channel.

### 2.2.2 Flow regime effects

The fluid dynamics and heat transfer behavior of fluid flow through packed tubes and channels is of special interest because of the considerable effects of the flow regime on the design of such system. Experimental data for the \( Nu \) as function of \( Re \) in packed channels often shows considerable disagreement from one study to the next, with discrepancies as large as 100% being reported \((16,17)\).

In an experimental study by Hwang et al \((6)\) it is shown that as the value of \( Re \) is increased, the value of average Nusselt number \((\overline{Nu})\) varies gradually from horizontal line where conduction is pre-dominant to an inclined straight line where convection becomes pre-dominant. Results for temperature distribution at different flow regimes were also shown.

Demirel \((1)\) investigated experimentally the effect of flow regime on heat transfer rate using air as the working fluid in an asymmetrically heated channel. The value of \( Nu \) shows a maximum at \( Re \) (based on superficial velocity and equivalent channel diameter) of about 650. In a similar
experiment, Choudhry and Garg (11) investigated the performance of air heating collectors with packed air flow passage with different mass flow rates. Large air mass flow rate has shown a considerable increase in the system efficiency, but higher pressure drop and hence higher pumping power cost.

2.2.3 Calculation of Nusselt number

In chemical reactor and packed bed engineering literature the $Nu$ is usually defined in terms of particle diameter $D_p$, heat transfer coefficient $h_w$, and the thermal conductivity of the fluid $k_f$. Thus the $Nu$ is defined as

$$Nu = \frac{h_w D_p}{k_f} \quad (2.1)$$

In a rectangular heated channel, Hwang et al (6), calculated $Nu$ experimentally based on the plate separation distance $H$ and the stagnate thermal conductivity instead of the particle diameter and the thermal conductivity of the fluid respectively, while Chou et al (14) and Demirel (1), reported an experimental $Nu$ based on the effective channel diameter $D_e$.

Wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient is generally defined as local values representative of a cross-sectional through the bed by the following relation,

$$dQ = h_{loc}(b \, dx) \left( T_w(x) - T_s(x) \right) \quad (2.2)$$
in which $b dx$ is the available heated surface area, $Q$ is the heat flow to the fluid, $T_w(x)$ and $T_b(x)$ are the temperatures of the wall and bulk fluid at $x$ respectively.

Frequently experimental data reported average heat transfer coefficient based upon an arbitrarily defined temperature differences, the most two common being used are as follows (18),

$$Q_{in} = \frac{h_{in} A (\Delta t_{in} - \Delta t_{out})}{\ln(\Delta t_{in}/\Delta t_{out})}$$

(2.3)

$$Q_{am} = \frac{h_{am} A (\Delta t_{in} - \Delta t_{out})}{2}$$

(2.4)

where $h_{in}$ and $h_{am}$ are average heat transfer coefficients based upon the logarithmic mean temperature and the arithmetic average temperature respectively. The coefficient $h_{in}$ is preferable for most calculation, however it is not universally used (19). On the other hand, most experiments report only the average heat transfer coefficient ($\overline{h}$), which is more easily measured, however $h_{loc}$ is more informative than $\overline{h}$ because it shows how the heat flux is distributed inside the packed channel.

Experimental calculation of wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient can also be expressed in terms of net air enthalpy rise as follows (19),
\[ h_{loc} = \frac{\dot{m}C_p}{b} \left( \frac{dT_s}{dx} \right) \left( \frac{1}{T_s(x) - T_h(x)} \right) \]  

(2.5)

were \( \dot{m} \) is the flow rate of the fluid and \( C_p \) is the specific heat of the fluid at constant pressure.

2.3 **Background on previous theoretical studies**

The simulation of heat transfer in a packed bed has been the subject of many recent studies, due to the increasing need for better understanding of the associated transport process in a packed channel, beside the difficulties and expenses which may be associated with the experimental work such as the scale up of the experimental equipment and the operation under different conditions.

The numerical solution usually involve the simultaneous solution of the continuity, momentum and energy equations along with the boundary and initial conditions consistent with the heat transfer system.

2.3.1 **Simulation of velocity distribution**

In mathematical modeling of convective heat transfer in a packed channel the knowledge of the velocity distribution inside the bed is necessary.
The flow is generally governed by the requirement of mass conservation which provides the continuity equation,

\[ \Delta G = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.6)}

were \( G \) is the mass flow rate. The momentum equation, which for incompressible fluid is usually expressed in the form of Ergun equation\( (19) \),

\[ -\nabla P = \left( f_1 + f_2 |\mathbf{v}| \right) \mathbf{v} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.7)}

were \( \mathbf{v} \) is the superficial velocity vector, \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) account for the viscous and inertia effects respectively, and are defined as follows,

\[ f_1 = \frac{150(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^3 D_p^2} \mu \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.8)}

\[ f_2 = \frac{1.75(1 - \varepsilon) \rho}{\varepsilon^3 D_p} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.9)}

Despite Ergun equations attractive simplicity the most serious drawback is the lack of parameters characterizing the structure of the packed bed.

Cheng et al\( (21) \), presented a mathematical model simulation of fluid flow inside a channel with asymmetric heating. The momentum equation applied for the flow distribution was based on the Brinkman-Darcy-Ergun model\( (22) \),

\[ \frac{\mu \mathbf{v}}{K} + \frac{\rho F}{\sqrt{K}} \mathbf{v}^2 = -\frac{dP}{dx} + \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{v}}{dy^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.10)}
the two parameters \( K \) and \( F \) which depend on the packing diameter and void fraction of the bed were defined as follows,

\[
K = \frac{\varepsilon^3 D^2}{150(1-\varepsilon)^2} \quad (2.11)
\]

\[
F = \frac{1.75}{\sqrt{150 \varepsilon^{3/2}}} \quad (2.12)
\]

the velocity profile was assumed to be symmetric about the centerline of the channel, so only half of the packed channel was considered in their solution.

Polkakes and Renken (7) presented a similar mathematical model for a rectangular channel in which the developed flow field was described by the following \( x \)-momentum equation,

\[
0 = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dP}{dx} + \mu \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{dv}{dy} \right) - \frac{\mu}{K} v - Av^2 \quad (2.13)
\]

were \( A \) and \( K \) are empirical functions which depend on the structure of the packed bed and defined as follows,

\[
K = \frac{\varepsilon^3 D^2}{175(1-\varepsilon)^2} \quad (2.14)
\]

\[
A = \frac{1.75(1-\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^3 D^2}
\]

Borkink and westerterp (15) has presented a theoretical and experimental study on the influence of tube and particle diameter on heat transport in packed beds, in their analysis the mathematical model was based
on constant velocity over the radius based on the superficial velocity. It is
worth noting that the same assumption has been considered by the same
workers in other recent reported studies (9,23).

2.3.2 Simulation of temperature distribution

The heat transfer characteristic of steady state thermally developing
forced convection flow in a packed channel heated asymmetrically has been
analyzed by many workers in the last five decades.

Cheng et al (21) reported a mathematical model of heat distribution in a
packed channel with asymmetric heating. The thermally developing flow has
been analyzed by the following two dimensional equation,

$$\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k_e \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)$$  \hfill (2.15)

the effective thermal conductivity $k_e$ was taken to be as function of the bed
structure and the fluid flow distribution (21). In a similar study by Chou et al
(14) a steady state thermally developing flow has been simulated by a three
dimensional model including the dispersion of heat in the three directions $x$, $y$, $z$ as follows,

$$w \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k_e \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k_e \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{1}{Pe^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( k_f \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right)$$  \hfill (2.16)
Young et al (24) reported a theoretical study on the inclusion of axial dispersion of heat in a two dimensional modeling of heat transfer in a cooled or heated tubular reactor, their results has shown a significant effect on the predicted temperature profile. Although recently Borking and Westerterp (23) have shown the temperature profile to be relatively insensitive to the value of the effective axial dispersion if the correct radial inlet temperature profile is used and the Re based on particle diameter exceeds 50 (23). Also extensive recent computer simulations showed axial dispersion of heat to be of minor importance for practical conditions (25,26).

Polkakes and Renken (7) reported an energy equation describing the temperature distribution inside a heated channel with neglected axial conduction term as follows,

$$\nu \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \alpha_e \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right)$$

noting that the effective thermal diffusivity, that is denoted by $\alpha_e$, is taken to be constant (7).

### 2.3.3 Simulation of Pressure drop

The ability to predict a reliable pressure drop through packed beds is of great significance since pumping cost are directly related to pressure drop of
the system in question. When pressure drop and flow information is required for a wide range of \( Re \) in packed bed configuration, the Ergun equation (19) has been the favorite choice amongst literature correlation. Ergun equation effectively accounts for simultaneous inertia and viscous energy losses, and a fair amount of pressure drop flow data have been correlated by it for beds with various geometrically shaped particles, however Ergun's equation has a serious drawback since it is generally good for void fraction less than 0.5 (19).

It is for this reason a modified Ergun equation has been proposed by different studies in literature. Recently Foumeny et al (20) have reported an experimental study on the effects of the confining wall of a tubular packed channel on the pressure drop. The two constants 150 and 1.75 were replaced respectively by \( A \) and \( B \) which are defined as follows (20),

\[
A = 130 \\
B = \frac{(D_t/D_p)}{(0.335(D_t/D_p) + 2.28)}
\]  

(2.18)

There are other Ergun based equations in the literature which mainly cast doubt on the universal constants 150 and 1.75 in the original equation.
Handley and Heggs (27) proposed a constant 368 and 1.24, instead of the respective values 150 and 1.75 as proposed by Ergun (19).

Bohn and Swanson (28) reported a correlation expression relating the friction factor to the \( Re \), the resulting expression was as follows,

\[
f_c = 6.508 \left( \frac{Re}{\eta} \right)^{-0.241} \tag{2.19}\]

This expression has been obtained by a least square curve fit procedure to the experimental result in a packed tube of 150 mm (ID) column with 610 mm hight and filled with metal rings. Air was taken as the working fluid in the rang of 0.3 to 1.4 kg/m²s.

It is worth noting that most of the reported experimental results of pressure drop were taken in a packed channel of void fraction of less or equal to 0.6.

**2.3.4 Correlation equation for Nusselt number**

The purpose of the early studies was to obtain the appropriate heat transfer parameters for the numerical simulation of the performance of wall cooled or heated catalytic reactor and packed heating systems. For this purpose correlation equations of the average wall-to-air heat transfer
coefficient as function of dimensionless parameters were needed. The most common definition of $Nu$ is,

$$Nu = \frac{h_w D_p}{k_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)

In a recent review on the packed bed heat transfer performance Cheng (21) have attributed the disparity of the Nusselt number correlation equation to the fact that the heat transfer rate was not measured directly, and to the methods used for the determination of $Nu$ in the heated or cooled flow passage.

Hwang et al (6) has reported a correlation equation of the $Nu$ based on the experimental results obtained in a packed and empty channel with asymmetric heating, (see table 2.1 ). The following correlation equation for the packed channel $Nu$ based on the separation distance $H$ was obtained as,

$$Nu = 0.31(Re_s)^{0.814}(e)^{-1.638\gamma}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.21)

were $\gamma = \frac{D_p}{H}$, the above equation is valid for forced convection of Freon-113 at $400 \leq Re_h \leq 1700$, $0.06 \leq \gamma \leq 0.13$, and $L/H = 9$ (6).

Li and Finlayson (29) presented different correlation expressions of $h_{sw}$ according to different experimental data on heat transfer in packed tubes. The
correlative expression obtained for \( Nu \) is valid in the range of \( Re = \frac{\rho v D_p}{\mu} \) ranging from 20 to 800 and \( D_p / D_e \) from 0.03 to 0.2. The following correlation for \( Nu \) obtained for cylindrical packed bed with constant heat flux was obtained,

\[
\frac{h_u d_p}{k} = 0.16 (Gd_p / \mu)^{0.93} \tag{2.22}
\]

Other forms of correlations tried in their study are shown in table (2.2) with the average deviation from the experimental data.

Dixon (13) reported a study on the wall and particle effects on heat transfer in packed beds, and constructed new correlation of \( Nu \) which is related to the \( Re \), \( Pr \) and \( D_p / D_e \) by,

\[
Nu = 0.523 (1 - D_p / D_e) (Pr)^{0.33} (Re)^{0.783} \tag{2.23}
\]

the dependence of \( Nu \) on the Prandtl number is just an assumption, no reported study used a range of fluid that would prove or disprove the one-third power which appears in the above equation (13). Mainly it was found that the exponent of the \( Re \) number in the correlation equation for the \( Nu \) varies widely from 0.33 to 1.
There is a question of how far it is worthwhile to refine prediction formula such as those reviewed above and also how accurate is the measurement of these parameters. As Bohn and Swanson (28) suggested that the testing at a large scale is required before the correlation equation can be fully validated. This point is one of the main concern in this study.

Many other forms of correlations have also been proposed in the literature. Table (2.3) shows a summarized review on these correlations.
Table 2.1 Experimental data on a packed channel with asymmetric heating (6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>GPM</th>
<th>( T_h-T_c (^\circ C) )</th>
<th>( q_w ) (W/m²)</th>
<th>( Re_h )</th>
<th>( Nu_h )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.35 mm chrome steel beds, ( \varepsilon=0.3423, \gamma=0.125, k_d=1.324 \ W/mK )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r261</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>4110</td>
<td>2148</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r272</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>5131</td>
<td>4130</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r280</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>7039</td>
<td>6164</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r286</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>8280</td>
<td>8301</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r291</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>10009</td>
<td>10335</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r295</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>11238</td>
<td>12452</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r299</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>14.67</td>
<td>13094</td>
<td>14537</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r302</td>
<td>15.97</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>14110</td>
<td>16571</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty channel, ( kf=0.0744 \ W/mK )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr16</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>15.70</td>
<td>2541</td>
<td>5385</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr18</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>7959</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr10</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>3489</td>
<td>10428</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr20</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>14.38</td>
<td>3734</td>
<td>12472</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr12</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>16.54</td>
<td>5142</td>
<td>14600</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr22</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>14.62</td>
<td>5122</td>
<td>16498</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr24</td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>4913</td>
<td>18532</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr27</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>5503</td>
<td>20545</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr28</td>
<td>21.85</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>6050</td>
<td>22672</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2 Correlations tested for heat transfer coefficient (29)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>deviation n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$h_w$, Spherical packing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu = a , Re_p^b$</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu = a + b , Re_p , Pr$</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_m = a , Re_p^b$</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu = a \left(Re_p , Pr\right)^{0.33} + b , Re_p^{0.8} , Pr^{0.4}$</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_w$, Cylindrical packing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu = a , Re_p^b$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu = a + b , Re_p , Pr$</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_m = a , Re_p^b$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu = a \left(Re_p , Pr\right)^{0.33} + b , Re_p^{0.8} , Pr^{0.4}$</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.3. Empirical correlation functions of Nusselt number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensionless form of working equation</th>
<th>Range of applicability</th>
<th>Channel type</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_D = 0.536(x^2 Re_p + Pr \tau_w)^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$Re &gt; 50$</td>
<td>Rectangle</td>
<td>Polkakos and Renken (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_p = (1-d_p/d) (Pr)^{0.33} (Re_p)^{0.6}$</td>
<td>$Re &gt; 50$</td>
<td>Tube</td>
<td>Anthony Dixon (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_p = 0.155(Re_p)^{0.75} (Pr)^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$Re &gt; 50$</td>
<td>Tube</td>
<td>Areov and Uminik (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_p = 0.2(Re_p)^{0.8} (Pr)^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$10 &lt; Re_p &lt; 250$</td>
<td>Tube</td>
<td>Dixon and Cresswel (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_D = 7 + 0.025(Re Pr)^{0.8}$</td>
<td>$Re_p &gt; 10$</td>
<td>Tube</td>
<td>R. N. Lyon (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_D = 0.8 + 0.1N^{1/3} {1 + 2.1N^{1/3} (\nu/\mu)^{0.14}}$</td>
<td>$N &gt; 100$</td>
<td>Rectangle</td>
<td>Robert H. Perry and Don Green (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 3

EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE

3.1 Introduction

The purpose of the early experimental studies on heat transfer in packed channels was to obtain the appropriate heat transfer parameters for the numerical simulation of the performance of the system considered. Usually experiments for this purpose are carried out by forcing a fluid through a heated or cooled packed channel with different operating conditions ($I, 2, \theta$), such as the flow regime, and the amount of heating supplied to the system. The appropriate heat transfer parameters are then calculated from the experimental data obtained under steady state condition. On the other hand the experimental data are used to validate and check the accuracy of the mathematical model. A line diagram of the experimental arrangement used in this study is shown as Figure (3.1)
3.2 Apparatus

3.2.1 Rectangular channel

The experimental heated channel is shown in Figure (3.2). The rectangular flow passage is of 180 cm long which includes three sections, namely the entrance, packing, and exit section, each of 10, 160, 10 cm long respectively and a cross-sectional area of 80X10 cm. The rectangular flow passage which is horizontally oriented is made of 10 mm thick plexiglass while the top heated plate is made of stainless steel of 1.0 mm thick. Two distributor plates are placed at the inlet and outlet sections of the channel to get a better distribution of the incoming air flow and support the packing. All the side walls, top and bottom are insulated from surrounding to minimize heat losses.

3.2.2 Heating Elements

Two electric heating elements hanged on the bottom of a galvanized mild steel plate were used to provide uniform heat flux along the air flow passage. Each heating element could provide a maximum of 1000 W. Two adjustable electric power input (rheostat) were used to provide the adjustable
uniform heat flux. Figure (3.3) shows the arrangement of the resistance-heating wires on the bottom of the galvanized mild steel sheet.

3.2.3 Insulating material

The top heating element insulated with a Vermiculite insulating material that is of 5.0 cm thick and 0.12 W/m°C thermal conductivity. All the side walls, bottom and top plates were insulated. Experimental results has shown an estimated heat losses of about 30 percent through the top plate and about 8 percent of the total heat supplied through the bottom plate.

3.2.4 Thermocouples

Thirty K-type thermocouples of accuracy ± 0.1°C were used for temperature readings. Twelve thermocouples which are inserted inside the channel from one side wall are located 24, 40 and 60 mm away from the heated top plate and 30, 63, 96 and 129 cm away from inlet distributor plate, beside, 2 thermocouples were placed at the inlet and outlet sections of the flow passage, 4 thermocouples were placed on the bottom plate at 30, 63, 96 and 129 cm away from the inlet distributor plate. Six thermocouples were placed over the top heated plate at 15, 41, 67, 93, 119 and 134 cm away from the inlet distributor plate. Details of the thermocouples distribution are shown
in Figure (2.4). For measuring the heat losses to the surrounding through the top plate, 6 thermocouples were placed over and bellow the top Vermiculite insulating sheet at 40, 80 and 120 cm away from the inlet distributor plate. All the Thermocouples placed at the top and bottom plates were covered with Silicon material so as to reduce radiation effects from surrounding. Three selector switches each of 12 channel were connected to a digital displayer for temperature readings.

3.2.5 Flow meter

Air flow rate from the main air line compressor was calculated by measuring the incoming air velocity through the inlet pipe. Calibrated Anometer of the type HHF710 which have a range of 0.3 to 35.0 m/s was used for air velocity measurement. Air filter with a pressure regulator was placed in the same line and before the Anometer to retain any moisture or oil from the air compressor. The Anometer has an accuracy of ± 1% of reading (± 1 digit), and a resolution of 0.01 m/s.

3.2.6 Manometer

A sensitive inclined manometer filled with red Merium liquid (0.8 s.g) was used for measuring the inlet pressure and the pressure drop caused by the
packing. The two ends of the Manometer were connected to the heated channel through 2 holes on the side wall, the holes were placed exactly at the two ends of the packed section (between the two distributor plates). Figure (3.5) shows the details of the Manometer and its connections to the channel.

3.3 Packing type and material

Raschig ring type of packing (hollow cylinders) with the following properties was used:

Material : Polyvinyl chloride plastic (PVC)
inside diameter : 4.0 cm
outside diameter : 4.8 cm
Height : 4.8 cm
Thermal conductivity : 1.18 w/m°C
Density : 1320 kg/m³
specific heat capacity : 825.0
Number of units : 910

The packing was filled randomly through the top movable plate. Fig (3.6) shows the packing shape and dimensions.
3.4 Experimental procedure

Experiments were first conducted for forced convection of air in a packed heated channel under different air mass flux ranging from 0.05 to 0.5 kg/m$^2$ s, and different heat flux ranging from 50 to 550 W/m$^2$, by this we were able to evaluate the thermal performance of the system under varying operating conditions. Both the transverse temperature profiles and heat flux to the top plate were measured at a particular Re. Experiments were then conducted for forced convection of air in the same channel and under the same operating conditions with empty flow passage, by that we were able to compare between the thermal performance of the channel in both cases of packed and empty flow passage. For each run, the inlet pressure and the pressure drop were measured.

All measurements were carried out on a consistent basis once steady state hydrodynamic and thermal condition were reached, temperature, flow rate, inlet pressure, pressure drop, as well as current and voltage for each strip heater were recorded when a complete set of temperature data showed no differences to within the experimental accuracy of $\pm 0.2 \, ^{\circ}C$ for 30 min. Experimental observations For different operating conditions has shown a
steady state conditions satisfied within an average time ranging from 4 to 6 hr. The experiments were carried out within an average top plate temperature ranging from 35 to 85 °C. Each set of experiment was carried out for 2 runs, which makes a total of 40 experimental runs for packed flow passage beside 12 experiments conducted in the empty channel under the same operating conditions.

Special 30 experimental runs were carried out for measuring the pressure drop, of which, 20 experiments were in the packed channel and 10 experiments in the empty channel. Measurements were taken under varying mass flux rate ranging from 0.05 to 0.5 kg/m² s.
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**Figure 3.1 Experimental Set-up**
Figure 3.2 Experimental rectangular channel
Figure 3.3 Arrangement of the Resistant-Heating Wires
Figure 3.4 Detailed diagram of thermocouples positions.
Figure 3.5 Connections of the Inclined Manometer to the Channel
Figure 3.6 Packing shape and size
CHAPTER 4

Development of the mathematical model

4.1 Introduction

Mathematical simulation of packed channel heat transfer has proven to be an effective and important tool for studying the thermal performance of such system. Mathematical simulation provides good prediction with the ability to validate concepts and theories used in connection with experimental studies. Most of the previous theoretical studies has concentrated on heat and fluid flow in tubular packed channel with small scale flow passage and packing size, in contrast to these studies, the present model considers a rectangular flow passage with large scale of channel with asymmetrical wall temperatures and focuses on the effects of different flow regimes on the thermal performance of the system.

The objective of this part of the study is to develop a simple and efficient, time-independent mathematical model to simulate the thermal behavior of a rectangular packed channel with asymmetric heating. This is done by simultaneously satisfying the momentum and energy equations.
together with the boundary conditions of the system. Figure 4.1 shows the geometry and coordinate system of the channel.

4.2 Assumptions

The mathematical model is based on the following assumptions:

1. The system is at steady state and no reaction takes place.

2. Air and solid packing are in local thermal equilibrium.

3. The air flow is considered as fully developed incompressible flow.

4. There is no radiation effects.

5. Thermal dispersion of heat in the \(x\) direction (main flow) is negligible in the energy equations.

6. Void fraction is constant in all directions.

7. Variation of the pressure drop with direction of flow is constant.

8. Physical properties of the air and solid packing are constant calculated at the inlet conditions.

4.3 Parameters definition

4.3.1 Bed void fraction

The actual void fraction of the rectangular packed channel in question was estimated based on the following definition,
\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\text{Total channel volume} - \text{Total packing volume}}{\text{Total channel volume}} \]

The total volume of packing was calculated according to the actual shape, dimensions and number of the Raschig ring type of packing used in the packed section. Based on the total number of Raschig ring packing \((N= 910)\) and the dimensions of the rectangular packed section \((\text{length}=1.6 \text{ m, width}=0.8 \text{ m, and height}=0.1 \text{ m})\) the void fraction was found to have a value of \(0.81\).

### 4.3.2 Packing and channel equivalent diameter

For non spherical packing, the equivalent particle diameter \(D_p\) is commonly defined by the following equation \((18)\),

\[
D_p = \frac{6(1-\varepsilon)}{\phi_s S}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

where \(S=\text{specific surface area, or area of particle per unit volume of bed =}S_o(1-\varepsilon), \text{m}^2/\text{m}^3; \) and \(S_o=\text{area of particle surface per unit volume of solid, m}^2/\text{m}^3. \) The shape factor \(\phi_s\), which is defined as the quotient of the area of a sphere equivalent to the volume of the particle divided by the actual surface of the particle has a value of about \(0.3\) for Raschig rings type of packing \((18)\). According to this definition the equivalent particle diameter has been found to have a value of \(0.0369 \text{ m}\.\)
For rectangular channels of an aspect ratio $W/H > 3$, the following method is given by Normand (33). The channel is treated as though it were a round pipe having an equivalent diameter $D_e$ defined as follows:

$$D_e = \left( 2.55K \frac{(WH)^2}{W + H} \right)$$

(4.2)

were $K$ is a constant of a value =1.4 for a rectangular channel of an aspect ratio $W/H = 8$. According to the above definition the equivalent channel diameter was found to have a value $D_e = 0.29 \text{ m}$.

4.3.3 Effective thermal conductivity

The effective thermal conductivity $k_e$ is a convenient engineering concept in packed bed systems. In a rectangular packed channel heated asymmetrically the effective transverse thermal conductivity is an important parameter, since this corresponds to the direction of heat flux. For the present model, the following expression is used,

$$k_e = k_{st} + k_{dy}$$

(4.3)

the stagnant thermal conductivity ($k_{st}$) is defined as the contribution to heat transfer in a packed channel due to conduction in case of stagnant fluid and is given as by (34),
\[ k_{st} = k_e \left( \varepsilon + (1 - \varepsilon) \left( \frac{\beta}{\delta + \frac{2 k_g}{3 k_s}} \right) \right) \]  

(4.4)

were \( \beta = 1 \) for the case of loose packing and \( \delta \) is taken as 0.18 (see figure 4.2).

\( k_s \) and \( k_g \) are the thermal conductivity of solid and gas respectively. The dynamic contribution \( k_{dy} \) that is due to fluid flow is approximated by (34),

\[ k_{dy} = \frac{0.0025}{1 + 4(\frac{D_e}{D_i})} \text{Re} \]  

(4.5)

where \( \text{Re} \) is defined as

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{G D_e}{\mu} \]  

(4.6)

4.3.4 Modified Reynolds number

The modified \( \text{Re} \) for the packed bed used in the course of this study is defined as follows,

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{\rho v D_e}{\mu (1 - \varepsilon)} \]  

(4.7)

were \( v \) is the superficial velocity (this is the average linear velocity the air would have in the channel if no packing were present). (10,19)
4.4 Model equations

4.4.1 Pressure drop equation

Most available studies on modeling packed channels pressure drop rely on Ergun equation (35). Generally speaking, there have been two main theoretical approaches for studding pressure drop through packed beds. In one method the packed bed is regarded as bundle of tangled tubes of weird cross-section; the theory is then developed by applying the previous results for single straight tubes to the collection of crooked tubes. In the second, which is applied by Ergun, the packed channel is visualized as a collection of submerged objects and the pressure drop is calculated by summing up the resistance of the submerged particles.

Analysis of great deal of data in laminar flow regime has lead to the following equation (19),

\[ \frac{P_0 - P_L}{L} = C_1 \frac{\mu (1 - \varepsilon)^2}{D_p^2 \varepsilon^3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

which is the Bulk-Kozeny equation valid for \( Re < 10 \) \( \left( Re = \frac{\rho u D_p}{\mu (1 - \varepsilon)} \right) \), the \( C_1 \) was found to be 150.
In turbulent flow regime, experimental data indicated that correlation of pressure drop takes the following form (19),

\[
\frac{P_0 - P_L}{L} = C_2 \frac{\rho \nu^2 (1 - \varepsilon)}{D_p \varepsilon^3}
\]  

(4.9)

which is the Burke-Plummer equation valid for \( Re > 1000 \). The constant \( C_2 \) was found to be 1.75.

When the Blake-Kozeny equation for laminar flow and the Burke-Plummer equation for turbulent flow are simply added together the result is

\[
\frac{P_0 - P_L}{L} = C_1 \frac{\mu \nu (1 - \varepsilon)^2}{D_p \varepsilon^3} + C_2 \frac{\rho \nu^2 (1 - \varepsilon)}{D_p \varepsilon^3}
\]  

(4.10)

which is the full Ergun equation(35).

For values of \( Re > 1000 \), which is our concern in this study, the viscous resistance, that is the first term on the right hand side of equation (4.10), represent less than 10% of the total flow resistance and may be neglected. For values of \( Re < 10 \) the inertia resistance, that is the second term on the right side of equation (4.10), becomes negligibly small (10).

It is worth noting that Ergun equation is generally good for void fraction of less than 0.5 and for small packing to channel diameter ratio (19). In applying Ergun equation to the present simulation of the pressure drop,
special modification was carried out to the constant $C_2$ by fitting the experimental pressure drop data obtained with our packed channel which is of void fraction 0.81.

4.4.2 Continuity and momentum balance equations

We consider fully developed, incompressible flow in a rectangular channel, the geometry and coordinate system are shown in figure (4.1). The time independent $x$-momentum equation based on the Brinkman-Ergun model is as follows (22),

$$\frac{dP}{dx} = \mu \frac{d^2v}{\varepsilon dy^2} - C_1 \frac{\mu v(1-\varepsilon)^2}{D_p^2\varepsilon^3} - C_2 \frac{p\nu^2(1-\varepsilon)}{D_p^3}\; (4.11)$$

The boundary effects accounted for by the Brinkman friction term, which is the first term on the right hand side of equation (4.11), makes it possible to satisfy the no-slip condition on a solid boundary. The inertia term which is the last term on the right hand side of equation (4.11) represent the inertia forces, which are significant for fast flows ($Re > 1000$). The viscous term, that is the second term on the right hand side of equation (4.11) represent less than 10% of the total resistance forces for $Re > 1000$ (10).
In the course of this study the fluid flow regime is in the range of \( Re > 1000 \), so the viscous term was neglected. The momentum balance of equation (4.11) reduces to the following final form,

\[
\frac{dP}{dx} = \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} \frac{d^2 \nu}{dy^2} - C_2 \frac{\rho \nu^2 (1 - \varepsilon)}{D_\rho \varepsilon^3}
\]  

(4.12)

with the following boundary conditions,

\[
\nu = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0
\]

\[
\frac{d\nu}{dy} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = \frac{H}{2}
\]  

(4.13)

The requirements of the mass conservation provides the following continuity equation,

\[
\frac{dG}{dx} = 0
\]  

(4.14)

since the density is considered to be constant in this study, then equation (4.14) reduces to the following form,

\[
\frac{d\nu}{dx} = 0
\]  

(4.15)

It is worth noting that if the pressure drop due to the flow of gas through a packed channel causes a density variation of less than 10\% compared to the inlet density, then the flow is considered to be an incompressible (18).
4.4.3 Energy balance equation

The heat transfer characteristics of a thermally developing forced convection flow in a packed tube or channel has been analyzed in many previous studies \((7,21,23)\). For a two dimensional, time-independent with negligible axial dispersion of heat model, the energy equation reduces to the following,

\[
\left( \rho \ C_p \right) \nu \ \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = k_e \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]

(4.16)

where \((\rho \ c_p)\) is the heat capacity of the air calculated at the inlet conditions.

Equation (4.16) was solved with the following boundary conditions,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} &= \frac{q}{k_e} \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} &= -\frac{q_{\text{loss}}}{k_e} \quad \text{at} \quad y = H
\end{align*}
\]

(4.17)

were \(q_{\text{loss}}\) is the heat lost to the surroundings through the bottom plate. It is worth noting that the numerical calculation of heat loss through the bottom plate has shown a maximum of about 8% loss of the total heat supplied.

4.5 Numerical formulations and procedures

4.5.1 Finite difference approximation

The momentum equation was solved based on the finite difference and following an iterative process. The initial guess of the velocity distribution,
together with the boundary conditions transform into a set of algebraic equations. The set of equations were then solved using Tridiagonal-Matrix algorithm (TDMA). To obtain the correct velocity distribution the iteration process was repeated until convergence was achieved.

Equation (4.12) is written in a finite difference form as follows,

\[ 0 = u_{i-1} u_i (2 + F_1 u_i) + u_{i+1} + F_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.18)

where

\[ F_1 = \frac{C_1 \rho (1 - \varepsilon)}{D_p \varepsilon^2 \mu} (\Delta y)^2 \]
\[ F_2 = \left( \frac{P_o - P_L}{L} \right) \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} \right) (\Delta y)^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.19)

The above set of equations \((i=0 \ to \ N/2)\) were solved iteratively using Newton Raphson method.

The energy equation was discretized using implicit finite difference method, namely the Cranck-Nicolson method, as follows,

\[ T_{new_{i+1}(F)} - T_{new_{i}(2F+1)} + T_{new_{i+1}(F)} = T_{old_{i+1}(-F)} + T_{old_{i}(2F-1)} + T_{old_{i+1}(-F)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.20)

were \(F\) is defined as follows,

\[ F = \frac{k_s (\Delta x)}{2 \rho \nu C_p (\Delta y)^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.21)
The above set of equations \((I=0 \text{ to } N)\) were developed using Tridiagonal-Matrix algorithm (TDMA).

Since the present work pertains to forced convection with the assumption of constant physical properties, the momentum equation was solved independently to yield the velocity field. With the velocity field in hand, the temperature field was obtained from the energy equation. Flow chart of the computer program is shown in figure 4.3. Main computer program and subroutines used in the numerical simulation are shown in appendix A

4.5.2 Determination of air bulk temperature

Once the temperature distribution inside the channel is known, one can get the average fluid temperature. The average bulk temperature commonly used in connection with flow of fluids with essential constant \(\rho\) and \(C_p\), is as follows (36),

\[
T_b(x) = \frac{\int_A u(y) T(y, x) dA}{\int_A u(y) dA} \tag{4.22}
\]

The bulk temperature \(T_b\) is the temperature one would measure if the channel was chopped off at \(x\) and if the air issuing forth were collected in a container and thoroughly mixed (hence this average temperature is sometimes refereed to as the “Cup-mixing temperature” or the average temperature).
Numerical calculation of the integral form of equation (4.22) was approximated by using Simpson integral scheme (37).

4.5.3 Determination of wall to air heat transfer coefficient

In chemical reactor and packed bed engineering literature the local heat transfer coefficients is usually defined in the following form (21),

$$h_i = \frac{\left( q_w \right)_i}{(T_w - T_b)_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.23)

with $T_b$ defined as in equation (4.22), $q_w$ is represented numerically by the following differential form,

$$q_w = k_e \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \bigg|_{y=0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.24)

For the present problem with asymmetric heating, the local $Nu$ for the thermally developing flow in terms of the effective channel diameter and the thermal conductivity of air is given by (21),

$$Nu_i = \frac{h_i D_e}{k_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.25)

It is worth noting that other forms of the $Nu$ in terms of the effective particle diameter $D_p$ (1.14) or the effective thermal conductivity $k_e$ (38) are reported in literature instead of the effective channel diameter $D_e$ and the fluid thermal conductivity $k_f$. 
FIG. 4.1 GEOMETRY AND COORDINATE SYSTEM OF THE BED.
FIG. 4.2 NORMALIZED STAGNANT FLUID THICKNESS $\delta$ AS FUNCTION OF THE THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY RATIO $K_f/K_G$ FOR LOOSE PACKING (33).
Fig. 4.3 Computer program flow chart
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CHAPTER 5

Results and discussion

5.1 Introduction

The main objectives of the present work are to investigate experimentally the thermal behavior of air flow in a rectangular packed channel with constant heat flux, beside the development of a reliable mathematical model to simulate the thermal and dynamic behavior of the system. The experimental set up and procedure was presented in chapter 3 and a detailed discussion on the model development was presented in chapter 4. The unique sides of the present work is that it is the first to be conducted in such a large scale experimental equipment.

In this chapter a new correlative expression for \( Nu \) based on the experimentally determined results on the packed channel is presented. A comparison between the empty and packed channel performance are also shown especially in terms of \( Nu \). In the modeling part the mathematical simulation results for the packed channel were compared with the experimental data. Appendices B and C shows a summaries experimental data analysis for the packed and empty channel respectively.
5.2 Packed channel experimental results

5.2.1 Temperature distribution

Air temperature distribution inside the packed channel at different heat flux at $Re=2471$ are shown in figures 5.1-5.4. The air enters the channel from one side of the packed section and a heat transfer process occurs between the top heated plate and the flowing air. Figures 5.5-5.7 shows the air temperature distribution at different heat flux and at $Re=5137$.

The packed channel transverse temperature profiles at $Re=1388$ and at different heat flux are shown in figures 5.8 and 5.10. The air is heated by a constant heat flux from the top heated plate at $y=0$. The air temperature shows a steep gradient near the top heated plate, at the core region a linear temperature profile occurs, and at the region close to the bottom plate a slight decrease in the air temperature is observed.

The experimental air bulk temperature at each axial position was calculated by the integral form of equation 4.22 for the local transverse temperatures at $y=0.02$, $y=0.05$, $y=0.08$ m from the top heated plate. The bulk temperature profiles as function of axial distance at $Re=1881$ and 3860 and at a constant heat flux of $230 \text{ W/m}^2$ are shown in figures 5.11 and 5.12.
respectively. As the air goes through the packed section in the axial direction
the temperature was considerably increased.

The air temperature distribution inside the packed section as function of
the axial and transverse distance are shown in a three dimensional plot in
figures 5.13-5.15.
Fig. 5.1 Experimental air temperature distribution in the packed channel at $Q=55.0 \text{ W/m}^2$
**Fig. 5.2** Experimental air temperature distribution in the packed channel at $Q=130$ W/m$^2$
Fig. 5.3 Experimental air temperature distribution in the packed channel at $Q=230 \text{ W/m}^2$
FIG. 5.4 EXPERIMENTAL AIR TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE PACKED CHANNEL AT Q=350 W/m².
FIG. 5.5 EXPERIMENTAL AIR TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE PACKED CHANNEL AT $Q=230$ W/m$^2$
Fig. 5.6 Experimental air temperature distribution in the packed channel at $Q=350 \text{ W/m}^2$. 

Re=5137
- Top plate
- $y=0.02 \text{ m}$
- $y=0.05 \text{ m}$
- $y=0.08 \text{ m}$

Temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$) vs. Axial distance (m)
Fig. 5.7 Experimental air temperature distribution in the packed channel at $Q=500 \text{W/m}^2$
FIG. 5.8 EXPERIMENTAL TRANSVERSE AIR TEMPERATURE PROFILES IN THE PACKED CHANNEL AT Q=130 W/m²
Fig. 5.9 Experimental transverse air temperature profiles in the packed channel at $Q=230 \text{ W/m}^2$
FIG. 5.10 EXPERIMENTAL TRANSVERSE AIR TEMPERATURE PROFILES IN THE PACKED CHANNEL AT Q=350 W/m²
FIG 5.11 EXPERIMENTAL AIR BULK TEMPERATURE IN THE PACKED CHANNEL AS FUNCTION OF THE AXIAL DISTANCE AT $Q=230$ W/m$^2$
Fig 5.12 Experimental air bulk temperature in the packed channel as function of the axial distance at Q=230 W/m²
FIG. 5.13 EXPERIMENTALLY DETERMINED TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE PACKED CHANNEL AT $Q=350 \text{ W/m}^2$ AND $Re=3860$. 
Fig. 5.14 Experimentally determined temperature distribution in the packed channel at $Q=130 \text{ W/m}^2$ and $Re=1388$. 
Fig. 5.15 Experimentally determined temperature distribution in the packed channel at $Q=55 \text{ W/m}^2$ and $Re=2471$. 
5.2.2 Pressure drop

Experimental packed pressure drop data in \textit{mm H}_2\textit{O} were taken over a wide range of flow rate ranging from \textit{0.05} to \textit{0.5 kg/m}^2\textit{s}. The results obtained within the packed section as function of the air superficial velocity are shown in figure 5.16. The 20 experimental measurements were taken at an average air temperature of \textit{25 °C} and without heat flux. Table 5.1 shows the experimental data of the pressure drop. It is clear that due to the large void fraction of the packed section the pressure drop is very low compared to other packed bed systems.

5.3 \textit{Empty channel experimental results}

5.3.1 Temperature distribution

A total number of 12 experimental runs were conducted in the empty channel with asymmetric heating for comparison with the packed channel thermal performance. The air temperature distribution inside the empty channel as function of the axial distance is shown in figures 5.17 and 5.18. These figures show that the temperature near the top heated plate \((y=0.02 \textit{ m})\) is considerably heated compared to the temperature at the lower half section of the channel, this is mainly attributed to the fact that the air mixing process for the empty channel is very limited. A selected data for The transverse air
temperature profiles are shown in figures 5.19 and 5.20. It is clear that the air temperature drops rapidly from the top wall ($y=0$) as it attains a linear profile in the bulk region. The bottom plate temperature has shown a slight increase compared to the temperature of air at $y=0.08\ m$.

Figures 5.21 and 5.22 shows the air bulk temperature as function of the axial distance. The air temperature profile almost takes a linear shape as the air moves towards the exit section of the channel. A three dimensional plot shows the shape of the air temperature distribution inside the empty channel is presented in figure 5.23.
FIG. 5.16 EXPERIMENTAL PRESSURE DROP BETWEEN THE TWO ENDS OF THE PACKED SECTION.
Table 5.1 Experimental pressure drop data obtained with the packed channel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superficial velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Pressure drop (N/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>7.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 5.17 EXPERIMENTAL AIR TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE EMPTY CHANNEL AT Q=130 W/M²
FIG. 5.18 EXPERIMENTAL AIR TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE EMPTY CHANNEL AT Q=230 W/M$^2$
Fig. 5.19 Experimental transvers temperature profiles in the empty channel at $Q=55.0 \, \text{W/m}^2$
Fig. 5.20 Experimental transvers temperature profiles in the empty channel at $Q=130 \text{ W/m}^2$
FIG. 5.21 EXPERIMENTAL AIR BULK TEMPERATURE AS FUNCTION OF THE AXIAL DISTANCE IN THE EMPTY CHANNEL AT $Q=230 \ W/\text{m}^2$
Fig. 5.22 Experimental air bulk temperature as function of the axial distance in the empty channel at $q=350 \text{ W/m}^2$
Fig. 5.23 Experimentally determined temperature distribution in the empty channel at $Q=130 \text{ W/m}^2$ and mass flux=$0.127 \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s}$. 
5.3.2 Pressure drop

Eight experiments were conducted in the empty channel for measuring the pressure drop. The experimental results for the empty channel pressure drop as function of the air superficial velocity are shown in figure 5.24. The data was collected with an air flow ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 kg/m²s and without heat supply for comparison with the packed channel pressure drop. The average air inlet temperature was about 25°C.

5.4 Experimental data analysis

5.4.1 Effects of Reynolds number on transverse and axial temperature profiles

The thermally developing air temperature profiles inside the packed channel has shown a great dependence on the air flow rate. for each set of experimental runs different flow rates ranging from 0.05 to 0.5 kg/m²s were tested. The experimentally determined air bulk temperatures for the thermally developing flow as function of axial distance at different Re are shown in figure 5.25-5.26. It is observed that the air temperature takes longer to develop thermally in the axial direction as Re decreased. This observation can be seen more clear at higher heat supplied to the flowing air as shown in
figures 5.27 and 5.28 for the air temperature at $y=0.02 \ m$ and $y=0.05 \ m$ respectively.

Figures 5.29 and 5.30 shows the relationship between the increase in air bulk temperature and the $Re$ at different heat fluxes. In these figures, the air bulk temperature at $x=1.29 \ m$ is plotted against $Re$. As shown in the previous figures it is observed that as the $Re$ decreases the air outlet temperature increases, this is mainly due to the existence of large number of slow moving layers of air between the packing and the increase in the residence time of air inside the packed section. This result may not hold for smaller size of packing in which the mixing process counterbalances the slow moving layers effects.

The effects of $Re$ on the transverse air temperature profiles is shown in figure 5.31. In this figure, The temperature profiles at the last section of the channel ($y=1.29 \ m$) are plotted against the transverse distance from the top heated plate ($y=0$) at different $Re$. It is observed that as the $Re$ increases the temperature profiles at the bulk region takes a linear shape and the temperature gradient at the wall decreases. This is mainly attributed to the mixing effects which becomes significant at high $Re$, But still the slow moving layers effects are dominant.
FIG. 5.24 EMPTY CHANNEL PRESSURE DROP.
Fig. 5.25 Experimental air bulk temperature as function of the axial distance in the packed channel at $Q=130 \text{ W/m}^2$. 
Fig. 5.26 Experimental determined air bulk temperature as function of the axial distance in the packed channel at $Q=230 \text{ W/m}^2$
Fig. 5.27 Experimentally determined air temperature in the packed channel as function of the axial distance at y=0.02 m.
Fig. 5.28 Experimentally determined air temperature in the packed channel as function of the axial distance at $y=0.05$ m.
Fig 5.29 Experimentally determined air bulk temperature in the packed channel at $x=1.29$ m.
Fig 5.30 Experimentally determined air bulk temperature in the packed channel at $x=1.29$ m.
FIG. 5.31 TRANSVERSE AIR TEMPERATURE PROFILES IN THE PACKED CHANNEL AT X=1.29 m.
5.4.2 Effects of Reynolds number on wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient

In this section the local wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient with asymmetric heating for the fully developed air flow are calculated based on the experimental air temperature data and the mass flow rate by the following formula,

\[ h_{loc} = \frac{mC_p(T_b - T_o)_i}{A_i(T_w - T_b)_i} \] (5.1)

The local heat transfer coefficient is presented in terms of Nusselt number. The \( Nu \) is defined based on the effective channel diameter and the air thermal conductivity as,

\[ Nu_{loc} = \frac{h_{loc}D_e}{k_f} \] (5.2)

A plot of \( Nu \) calculated at \( x=1.29 \) m against \( Re \) are shown in figures 5.32-5.35 for a constant heat flux of 130, 230, 350 and 500 W/m² respectively. It shows that the value of \( Nu \) increases considerably in the range of \( Re \) considered. This increase is mainly attributed to the convection process, which is predominant at this flow regime. However figures 5.32 and 5.35 shows that at \( Re>4500 \) the curve shows a slight decrease in the value of \( Re \) compared to figures 5.33 and 5.34.
The values of local Nusselt as function of axial distance and different \( Re \) are shown in figure 5.36. From this figure, it can be seen that \( Nu \) has almost a linear profile at low flow rates (\( Re < 1881 \)) as the air flows towards the exit section of the packed channel. It is noteworthy that the effects of \( Re \) on the local \( Nu \) becomes significant at \( Re > 1881 \) as shown in figure 5.37. This result can be explained from a physical standpoint: The mixing of local air streams yield a significant effect on the process of heat transfer, it can also be seen that the entrance effects is relatively week as the flow rate decreases.

The experimentally determined fully developed \( Nu \) obtained with the packed channel at different flow regimes is shown in table 5.2.
Fig. 5.32 Experimentally determined $Nu$ as function of $Re$ at $x=1.29$ m
Fig. 5.33 Experimentally determined $N_u$ as function of $Re$ at $x=1.29$ m.
FIG. 5.34 EXPERIMENTALLY DETERMINED $Nu$ AS FUNCTION OF $Re$ AT $x=1.29$ m.
Fig. 5.35 Experimentally determined $Nu$ as function of $Re$ at $x=1.29$ m.
Fig. 5.36 Local $N_u$ as function of the axial distance in the packed channel.
Fig. 5.37 Local $Nu$ as function of the axial distance in the packed channel.
Table 5.2 Experimentally determined $Nu$ in the packed channel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat supplied (W/m$^2$)</th>
<th>Nusselt number</th>
<th>Reynolds number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>1881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4.3 Comparison between experimental and previous theoretical predictions of packed channel pressure drop

Ergun equation is one of the most reliable model for the prediction of pressure drop in packed beds. It is generally good for void fraction of less than 0.5 (19). The experimental results for the pressure drop obtained in our system which is of void fraction 0.81 has shown a great difference from Ergun’s equation predictions.

Many previous studies on related packed bed systems has cast doubt on the constants presented in ergun equation. Figure 5.38 shows a comparison between the present experimental pressure drop data and that which would be obtained by Ergun equation. It is observed that the Ergun equation is under estimating the pressure drop, the differences between the experimental and Ergun prediction increases considerably as the flow rate decreases. The percentage error in Ergun prediction reaches a value of 68 % at a superficial velocity of about 0.07 m/s.

In a recent experimental study by Bohn (28) a new correlative expression for the friction factor in packed beds as function of $Re$ was presented as,
\( f_o = 6508 \text{Re}^{-0.241} \)  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

This expression was obtained by a least square fit to the experimental data obtained in a pall ring tubular packed bed of void fraction 0.947 and at an average air temperature of about 250 °C. Figure 5.39 shows a comparison between the present experimental data and that which would be obtained by Bohn Correlation. It is shown that the Bohn correlation is over estimating the pressure drop with an error of about 65% at a superficial velocity of 0.03 m/s.

5.5 Modified Ergun equation

Due to the nature of the rectangular shape of the present experimental equipment and the large void fraction used in this study, a modification to Ergun equation proved to be necessary to obtain a reliable pressure drop. Table 5.3 shows the experimental and the predicted pressure drop by Ergun equation along with the percentage error.

The predictive pressure drop model presented by Ergun is as follows,

\[
\frac{P_o - P_l}{L} = C_1 \frac{G \mu(1- \varepsilon)^2}{D_p \rho} + C_2 \frac{G^2(1- \varepsilon)}{D_p \rho \varepsilon^3}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

with \( C_1 = 150 \) and \( C_2 = 1.75 \).

For values of \( Re > 1000 \) the viscous forces, that is the first term on the right hand side of equation (5.4) represent less than 10% of the total flow
resistance, and therefore may be neglected, for values of \( Re < 10 \) the inertia forces, that is the second term on the right hand side of equation (5.4) become negligibly small (10). Table 5.4 shows the percentage of viscous and inertia forces of the total flow resistance that calculated by the original Ergun equation in the range of \( Re \) considered in this study. As shown the viscous forces represent a maximum of 5% of the total flow resistance at the lowest \( Re \) considered in the experimental study. So in the present modified equation the viscous forces were neglected.

The experimental data and a least squares curve fit procedure was used to evaluate the fitting parameter \( C_2 \) which appears in equation (5.4) by relating the experimental packed channel pressure drop results to the superficial velocity. Based on the 20 experimental data listed in table 5.1, the following modified Ergun equation for the range of \( Re \) considered is,

\[
\frac{P_e - P_L}{L} = 4.275 \frac{G^2 (1-\varepsilon)}{D_p \rho e^3}
\]  

(5.5)

Figure 5.40 shows the experimental and the predicted packed channel pressure drop by the present modified equation. The maximum deviation is 9 %, the standard error is 0.09.
Fig. 5.38 Comparison between the experimental packed channel pressure drop and Ergun's predictions.
Fig. 5.39 Comparison between experimental and predicted pressure drop by Bohn correlation.
Table 5.3 Comparison between experimental and predicted pressure drop by Ergun equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superficial velocity</th>
<th>Experimental ΔP ([N/m²])</th>
<th>Predicted ΔP</th>
<th>% Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.4 Viscous and inertia forces calculated by the original Ergun equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reynolds number</th>
<th>Viscous forces</th>
<th>Inertia forces</th>
<th>% of viscous forces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>805</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1105</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1452</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1578</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1873</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2068</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2194</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2305</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2352</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3068</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>1.507</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3352</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.798</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 5.40 BEST FIT VALUES OF THE PRESSURE DROP IN THE PACKED SECTION AS FUNCTION OF THE AIR SUPERFICIAL VELOCITY.
5.6 Correlative expression of Nusselt number

The convective heat transfer coefficient is given in a non-dimensional form by the nusselt number \( (Nu) \), A dependence power law of \( Nu \) upon \( Re \) was searched for, assuming the mathematical form,

\[
Nu = C Re^x
\]  

(5.6)

On the basis of empirical equations reported in the literature for other cases of forced convection with constant heat flux (6).

Application of the method of least squares curve fit the algorithm of \( Nu \) and \( Re \) has yielded,

\[
Nu = 0.8334 Re^{0.6836}
\]  

(5.7)

Equation (5.7) is graphically represented by the solid line in figure 5.41. Experimental data are indicated with symbols. Reproduction of data is satisfactory: The maximum deviation is 7 \%; the standard error of the coefficient \( C \) is 0.1663 and 0.02436 for the coefficient \( x \).

It is worth noting that the above correlative expression of \( Nu \) is valid only for a rectangular channel with asymmetric heating, aspect ratio \( \frac{W}{H} =8.0 \)
and for a particle to channel effective diameters ratio $\frac{D_p}{D_c} = 0.127$ for the range of operating conditions considered in this study.

5.7 Simulation results

5.7.1 Velocity distribution

The results for the fully developed velocity profiles were calculated numerically using the modified Brinkman-Ergun model. The viscous forces which has been found to represent less than 5% were neglected in the momentum equation. Figure 5.42 shows the variation of the local air velocity as function of the transverse distance at $Re=1388$ and 3860. From these local velocity profiles, two important observations are shown, (i) the velocity profile almost has a plug flow model shape. (ii) the velocity attains its maximum at a distance of about 0.2 times the effective packing diameter from the surrounding walls.

Fig 5.43 shows a comparison between the calculated velocity profiles at a wide range of $Re$. As expected, the velocity increases considerably as the $Re$ increases.
Fig. 5.41 Best fit values for the $Nu$ as function of $Re$. 
Fig. 5.42 Fully developed velocity profiles in the packed channel as function of the transverse distance.
FIG. 5.43 **Fully developed velocity profiles in the packed channel as function of the transverse distance at different** $Re$. 
5.7.2 Temperature distribution

The predicted transverse and axial air temperature distribution inside the packed channel has shown good agreement with the experimental data. Figures 5.44 and 5.45 shows a comparison between the predicted and experimental air temperature inside the packed channel at two different Re. It is seen from these figures that the model results are perfectly predicting the air temperature distribution inside the packed channel. The transverse air temperature profiles are shown in figures 5.46 and 5.47 for different Re and at low heat flux. These plots also show the good agreement between the model and the experimental data. The predicted and experimental air bulk temperature profiles as function of the axial distance at different Re are shown in figure 5.48.

5.7.3 Heat transfer coefficient

The numerical evaluation for the local heat transfer coefficient was based on the following equation

\[ h_w = \frac{k_i \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}}{A_i (T_w - T_b)} \]  

(5.8)
The heat transfer coefficient in non-dimensional form is written in terms of $Nu$ which is defined as follows,

$$Nu = \frac{h_D}{k_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.9)

Considering the air flow in a rectangular packed channel, the fully developed Nusselt number is considerably increased as $Re$ increases. Comparison between the experimental and the predicted nusselt number are shown in figures 5.49 and 5.50 for a wide range of $Re$. It is shown that the model is slightly over predicting the experimental results as the Reynolds increases, but the overall prediction is satisfactory compared to previous predictive models. The difference between the model prediction and the experimental $Nu$ is mainly attributed to the differences between the predicted and the experimental top heated plate temperature. It is worth noting that there is a little doubt on the effect of the radiation on the top plate thermocouples.
Fig. 5.44 Experimental and predicted air temperature profiles in the packed channel at $q=230 \text{ W/m}^2$
Fig. 5.45 Experimental and predicted air temperature profiles in the packed channel at $Q=230 \text{ W/m}^2$
FIG. 5.46 EXPERIMENTAL AND PREDICTED TRANSVERSE AIR TEMPERATURE
PROFILES IN THE PACKED CHANNEL AT Q=230 W/m²
Fig. 5.47 Experimental and predicted transverse air temperature profiles in the packed channel at $Q=230 \text{ W/m}^2$
**Fig. 5.48** Experimental and predicted air bulk temperature as function of the axial distance.
**Fig. 5.49** Experimental and predicted fully developed $Nu$ at $x=1.29$ m.
Fig. 5.50 Experimental and predicted fully developed $N_u$ at x=1.29 m.
5.8 Comparison between empty and packed channel performance

5.8.1 Temperature distribution

A comparison between the temperature distribution as function of the axial distance (from the inlet) in the channel with and without packing at a mass flux=0.227 kg/m$^2$s is presented in figure 5.51. It is shown that the wall temperature in the empty channel is higher than that in the packed one and the air temperature profile has almost a horizontal shape after an axial distance of 0.3 m from the inlet distributor plate, while in the packed channel the air temperature increases considerably as it moves towards the exit section, this is mainly attributed to the good mixing caused by the presence of packing in the air flow passage. It is also observed that the difference between the air temperature in the packed and empty channel decreases as the air moves towards the exit section of the channel.

Figure 5.52 shows a comparison between the transverse temperature profiles obtained with the empty and packed channel at a mass flux=0.357 kg/m$^2$s. It is shown that the temperature gradient at the wall is higher in the empty channel, which is contradicting the experimental results obtained by Hwang et al (6) in an empty and packed channel with smaller size of packing, in their results it was shown that the temperature gradient at the wall decreases
when packing is used. The increase in the wall temperature gradient obtained in our results can be justified from the fact that; with a packed channel the air mixing process is higher which results in an increase in the bulk air temperature. A comparison between the air bulk temperature obtained with and without packing is shown in figure 5.53.

5.8.2 Pressure drop

The comparison between the empty and packed channel pressure drop has shown a considerable decrease in the pressure drop obtained with the empty channel. The empty channel pressure drop was almost about 5 times lower than that obtained with the packed channel. This is graphically represented in figure 5.54, the pressure drop in $N/m^2$ is plotted against the air superficial velocity.

5.8.3 Heat transfer coefficient

A comparison between the heat transfer coefficient obtained with and without packing in the asymmetrically heated channel as function of the mass flow rate is shown graphically in figure 5.55. It is shown that as the flow rate increases the $Nu$ obtained in the packed channel increases as shown in the previous sections, while for the empty channel the $Nu$ is shown to be independent of the air flow rate as expected. Table 5.5 shows the $Nu$ as
function of the mass flux for the empty and packed channel along with the percentage increase of $Nu$ for the packed channel. It was found that the $Nu$ in the packed channel is approximately 6 times higher than that in empty channel for $Re$ ranging from 1000 to 5500.
Fig. 5.51 Comparison between the experimental axial air temperature profiles in the empty and packed channel at $y=0.05$, $Q=130$ W/m$^2$. 

Mass flux=$0.227$ kg/m$^2$s

- Packed channel
- Empty channel
- Packed channel top plate
- Empty channel top plate
FIG. 5.52 EXPERIMENTAL TRANSVERSE AIR TEMPERATURE PROFILES IN THE EMPY AND PACKED CHANNEL AT X=1.29 m, Q=230 W/m²
Fig 5.53 Comparison between empty and packed channel air bulk temperature at $Re=2471$. 
Fig. 5.54 Comparison between empty and packed channel pressure drop.
Fig. 5.55 Comparison between $Nu$ obtained in the empty and packed channel at $y=1.29$ m.
Table 5.5 Comparison between empty and packed channel $Nu$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat supplied (W/m$^2$)</th>
<th>Mass flux (kg/m$^2$s)</th>
<th>$Nu_{\text{empty}}$</th>
<th>$Nu_{\text{packed}}$</th>
<th>% Increase in $Nu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. An experimental investigation of heat transfer in a rectangular packed channel with constant heat flux and asymmetrical wall temperatures has been conducted. The unique features of this experimental study are that it has been conducted in a large scale experimental equipment with a large void fraction and heated from top wall only.

2. A comprehensive numerical model for the simulation of air velocity and temperature profiles in a rectangular packed channel with constant heat flux has been developed. The new features of this model that it is the first to be developed for such a large void fraction packed rectangular channel with asymmetric heating.

3. Based on the experimentally determined air and wall temperature distribution a new correlative expression for $Nu$ number ($= \frac{h \xi}{k_f}$) in terms of
$Re$ number ($= \frac{Gd_p}{\mu(1-\varepsilon)}$) has been obtained. Least square fit procedure was used to evaluate the parameters.

4. For comparison between the empty and packed channel thermal performance a set of experiments has been conducted in the same channel and without packing. Under the same operating conditions the comparison in terms of $Nu$ has shown that an increase of about 6 times in the value of $Nu$ has been found compared to the empty channel in the range of $Re$ considered in this study.

5. Experimental investigation in the packed channel on the effect of the flow regime on $Nu$ has shown a considerable increase in the value of $Nu$ as the flow rate increases in the range of $1000 < Re < 5500$.

6. A modified Equation for the pressure drop prediction in the packed channel has been obtained based on the original Ergun equation. The experimental packed channel pressure drop data and a least square curve fit procedure was used to evaluate the fitting parameters.
7. The experimental study has been carried out by one size and type of packing which limits our results and conclusions with the operating conditions considered in the study. Therefore it is recommended to investigate the thermal performance of the system under different sizes, shape and type of packing.

8. The correlative expression obtained for $Nu$ is limited within the range of $Re$ considered in this study. It would be better for further experimental studies to operate under higher values of $Re$.

9. The numerical program was developed to simulate the flow and thermal behavior of air flow under low heat supplied ($q<550 \text{ W/m}^2$) and constant physical properties. I recommend for further theoretical studies to modify the present program so as to simulate the system behavior under moderate heating taking into consideration the temperature and pressure variation effects on the physical properties of the media.
NOMENCLATURE

$A$  characteristic area

$B_i$  wall Biot number ($=h_w R/\kappa_e$)

$Bo_h$  radial Bodensten number for heat ($=\rho v C_p D_p / k_e$)

$C_p$  specific heat at constant pressure

$D_e$  equivalent diameter of channel

$D_p$  equivalent diameter of packing

$f_o$  friction factor

$f_t$  viscous forces

$f_2$  inertia forces

$G$  mass flux

$H$  Channel height

$h_w$  wall-to-air/fluid heat transfer coefficient

$k_{dy}$  dynamic thermal conductivity

$k_e$  effective thermal conductivity

$k_f$  fluid/air thermal conductivity

$k_{st}$  stagnant thermal conductivity

$L$  channel length

$N$  number of packing particles
\( Nu \) \hspace{1cm} \text{Nusselt number based on effective channel diameter \( \left( \frac{h_w D_e}{k_f} \right) \)}

\( Nu_h \) \hspace{1cm} \text{Nusselt number based on channel height \( \left( \frac{h_w H}{k_f} \right) \)}

\( Pe \) \hspace{1cm} \text{heat transfer Peclet number \( \left( \frac{GC_p D_p}{k_e} \right) \)}

\( \Delta P \) \hspace{1cm} \text{total pressure drop}

\( Q, q_w \) \hspace{1cm} \text{heat flux}

\( R \) \hspace{1cm} \text{tube radius}

\( Re \) \hspace{1cm} \text{modified Reynolds number \( \left( \frac{\rho u D_p}{\mu (1-\varepsilon)} \right) \)}

\( Re_h \) \hspace{1cm} \text{Reynolds number based on the channel height \( \left( \frac{\rho u H}{\mu} \right) \)}

\( T \) \hspace{1cm} \text{temperature}

\( u \) \hspace{1cm} \text{velocity component in the axial direction}

\( W \) \hspace{1cm} \text{Channel width}

\( x \) \hspace{1cm} \text{axial direction}

\( y \) \hspace{1cm} \text{transverse/radial direction}

**Greek symbols**

\( \alpha_e \) \hspace{1cm} \text{effective thermal conductivity}

\( \varepsilon \) \hspace{1cm} \text{bed void fraction}
\( \mu \)  fluid/air viscosity

\( v \)  fluid/air kinamatic viscosity

\( \rho \)  fluid/air density

Subscribes

\( b \)  bulk

\( e \)  effective

\( f \)  fluid

\( g \)  gas

\( i \)  local

\( p \)  particle

\( s \)  solid

\( t \)  tube

\( w \)  wall
References


APPENDIX A

MAIN PROGRAM AND SUBROUTINES USED

**COMPUTER PROGRAM NAME:-**
**"HEAT TRANSFER IN A RECTANGULAR PACKED CHANNEL WITH CONSTANT HEAT FLUX"**
**THIS PROGRAM IS WRITTEN TO PERFORM A NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF A STEADY STATE**
**THERMAL BEHAVIOR OF AN AIR FLOW IN A RECTANGULAR CHANNEL HEATED FROM THE**
**TOP PLATE.**

**DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS:-**

**X, Y** : AXIAL AND TRANSVERSE DIRECTIONS.
**DELY** : INCREMENT IN DISTANT FROM THE WALL.
**DELX** : INCREMENT IN DISTANCE FROM THE ENTERANCE.
**N** : NUMBER OF GRID POINTS.
**UOLD** : VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION.
**VEL** : SUPERFICIAL VELOCITY BASED ON EMPTY CHANNEL.
**TNEW,TOLD** : TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION.
**To** : INLET TEMPERATURE.
**Po** : INLET PRESSURE.
**Tamb** : AMBIENT TEMPERATURE.
**Th** : BULK AIR TEMPERATURE.
**Ro** : AIR DENSITY TAKEN AT THE INLET CONDITIONS
**VIS** : VISCOSITY OF THE AIR AT THE INLET CONDITIONS
**PHY** : BED VOID FRACTION.
**Cp** : SPECIFIC HEAT OF THE AIR AT INLET CONDITIONS
**G** : MASS FLOW RATE.
**DELP** : PRESSURE DROP IN THE PACKED SECTION.
**W, L, H** : WIDTH, LENGTH AND HEIGHT OF THE CHANNEL RESPECTIVELY
**dp,De** : EFFECTIVE DIAMETERS OF THE PACKING AND CHANNEL

**q** : ACTUAL HEAT SUPPLIED TO THE SYSTEM.
**kf,ks** : THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF AIR & PACKING RESPECTIVELY.
**ke** : EFFECTIVE THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY.
**kins,kglas** : THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF INSULATION & GLASS PLATE.
**CONS** : CONSTANT USED IN ERGUN EQUATION.
**Re** : REYNOLD NUMBER BASED ON SUPERFICIAL VELOCITY
**HCOF** : WALL TO AIR HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT.
**NUS** : NUSSELT NUMBER BASED ON PARICLE DIAMETER.
**A,B,C,D** : MATRIX COEFFICIENTS IN THOMAS ALGORITHM.
**BETA,GAMMA** : THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES USED IN THOMAS ALGORITHM.
**J** : SMALL POSITIVE NUMBER USED IN ACCURACY CHECK FOR THE
**MOMENTUM EQUATION SOLUTION.
**SUBROUTINES USED:**

**SUBROUTINE DATA:** FOR READING THE REQUIRED DATA.

**SUBROUTINE GRID:** FOR FIXING THE GRID POINTS IN THE TRANSVERSE DIRECTION.

**SUBROUTINE INIT1 & INIT2:** FOR INITIALIZING THE VARIABLES.

**SUBROUTINE ERGUN:** FOR CALCULATING THE PRESSURE DROP.

**SUBROUTINE LOAD1 & LOAD2:** FOR CALCULATING THE COEFFICIENTS A, B, C, D

**SUBROUTINE REYNOLD:** FOR CALCULATING THE REYNOLDS NUMBER.

**SUBROUTINE BULK:** FOR CALCULATING THE AIR BULK TEMPERATURE.

**SUBROUTINE HEATCOEF:** FOR CALCULATING THE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT &

**NUSELT NUMBER.**

**SUBROUTINE THOMAS1 & THOMAS2:** TO SOLVING A SET OF LINEAR ALGEBRIC

**EQUATIONS**

**SUBROUTINE RESET:** TO RESET THE NEW VALUES OF TEMPERATURE AS OLD ONES.

**SUBROUTINE PRINT:** TO PRINT THE SOLUTION RESULT AT SPECIFIC AXIAL

**POSITIONS.

WRITTEN BY : YASSIR T. MAKAWAI

DATE : MAR. 1, 1995

**MAIN PROGRAM**

IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)

PARAMETER(N=50)

REAL*8 ke,kf,kins,kglas,kwood,L,NUS

DIMENSION UOLD(0:N),DUOLD(0:N)

DIMENSION A1(0:N),B1(0:N),C1(0:N),D1(0:N),F1(0:N),F2(0:N)

DIMENSION A2(0:N),B2(0:N),C2(0:N),D2(0:N)

DIMENSION BETA(0:N),GAMMA(0:N),DEL_Y(0:N),Y(0:N)

DIMENSION DTOLD(0:N),TOLD(0:N),TNEW(0:N),F(0:N)

OPEN(UNIT=1,FILE="THESIS.OUT",STATUS="OLD")

REWIND(1)

ICOUNT=0

DELY=0.00005

X=DELY

CALL DATA(To,Tamb,Ro,Po,VIS,PHY,W,H,L,dp,De,q,kf,ks

&.kglas,kwood,Cp,G,VEL)

CALL REYNOLD(Re,Ro,dp,VIS,VEL)

CALL GRID(N,DEL_Y,Y)

CALL INIT1(N,UOLD)

CALL INIT2(N,TOLD,To)

CALL ERGUN(DEL_P,PDROP,G,PHY,dp,L,Ro,CONS)

10 CONTINUE

CALL LOAD1(N,UOLD,PDROP,DEL_Y,F1,F2,A1,B1,C1,D1,CC2,PHY,Ro,VIS,dp

&.De,CONS)

CALL THOMAS1(N,DUOLD,BETA,GAMMA,A1,B1,C1,D1)

CALL SOLVE1(N,DUOLD,UOLD)

IF (J.L.T. N/2+1) GO TO 10

CALL THERMCON(Re,PHY,kf,ks,ke,dp,De)

30 CONTINUE

CALL LOAD2(N,UOLD,TOLD,DEL_Y,DEL_X,A2,B2,C2,D2,F,q,ke,kins,kglas,

& kwood,Tamb,Ro,Cp)
CALL THOMAS2(N, DTOLD, BETA, GAMMA, A2, B2, C2, D2)
CALL SOLVE2(N, TNEW, DTOLD)
CALL BULKTEMP(N, TNEW, UOLD, Tb)
CALL HEATCOEF(N, DELY, NUS, HCOP, TNEW, Tb, De, kf, kc)
CALL PRINT(N, X, ICOUNT, Y, UOLD, VEL, TNEW, To, Po, Tb, q, G, PDROP, HCOP, NUS, Re)
CALL RESET1(N, TNEW, TOLD)
ICOUNT=ICOUNT+1
X=DELX+X
IF (X .LT. 1.6) GO TO 30
PRINT*, ' END OF CALCULATION'
WRITE(1,*), ' END OF CALCULATION'
END

C ****************************************************************************************************************
C ** SUBROUTINE DATA
C ** DATA SECTION
C ****************************************************************************************************************

SUBROUTINE DATA(To, Tamb, Ro, Po, VIS, PHY, W, H, L, dp, De, q, kf, ks, kins, &kglas, kwood, Cp, G, VEL)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H, O-Z)
REAL*8 kf, ks, kins, kglas, kwood, L
W=0.8
H=0.1
L=1.6
dp=0.0369
De=0.29
R=287.0
Cp=1006.2
VIS=0.000018
PHY=0.81
kwood=0.04
kglas=0.04
kins=0.1408
kf=0.0258
ks=0.14
VEL=25.0*0.011
q=130
Tamb=22.5
To=26.0
Po=101344.2
Ro=Po/(R*(To+273.0))
G=VEL*R
RETURN
END

C ****************************************************************************************************************
C ** SUBROUTINE ERGUN
C ** CALCULATING THE PRESSURE DROP USING ERGUN EQUATION
C ****************************************************************************************************************

SUBROUTINE ERGUN(DELP, PDROP, G, PHY, dp, L, Ro, CONS)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H, O-Z)
REAL*8 L
CONS=5.0
F2=CONS*(1.0-PHY)/(PHY**3*dp)
DELP=F2*G**2/Ro
PDROP=DELP*L
RETURN
END

C ******************************************************************************
C ** SUBROUTINES GRID
C ** FIXING THE GRID POINTS IN THE TRANSVERSE DIRECTION
C ******************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE GRID(N,DELY,Y)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION DELY(0:N),Y(0:N)
Y(0)=0.0
DO 10 I=0,N
DELY(I)=0.002
10 Y(I+1)=Y(I)+DELY(I)
RETURN
END

C ******************************************************************************
C ** SUBROUTINES INIT1
C ** FOR INITIALIZING THE VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION
C ******************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE INIT1(N,UOLD)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION UOLD(0:N)
UOLD(0)=0.0
DO 10 I=1,N/2
UOLD(I)=0.05
10 CONTINUE
UOLD(N)=0.0
RETURN
END

C ******************************************************************************
C ** SUBROUTINE INIT2
C ** FOR INITIALIZING THE TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION
C ******************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE INIT2(N,TOLD,To)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION TOLD(0:N)
DO 10 I=0,N
TOLD(I)=To
10 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

C ******************************************************************************
C ** SUBROUTINE LOAD1
C ** CALCULATING THE MATRIX COEFFICIENTS OF THE MOMENTUM EQUATION
C ******************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE LOAD1(N,UOLD,PDROP,DELY,F1,F2,A1,B1,C1,D1,C2,PHY,Ro,
&VIS,Dp,De,CONS)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION UOLD(0:N),A1(0:N),B1(0:N),D1(0:N)
DIMENSION DELY(0:N),C1(0:N)
DIMENSION F1(0:N),F2(0:N)
CCo=PDROP*(PHY/VIS)
CC2=CONS*Ro*(1.0-PHY)/(PHY**2*dp*VIS)
DO 10 I=1,N/2
  F1(I)=2.0+2.0*CC2*DELY(I)**2*UOLD(I)
  F2(I)=CCo*DELY(I)**2
10 CONTINUE
C **NODE 0**
  A1(0)=0.0
  B1(0)=1.0
  C1(0)=0.0
  D1(0)=0.0
C **INTERIOR NODES**
DO 20 I=1,N/2-1
  A1(I)=1.0
  B1(I)=-F1(I)
  C1(I)=1.0
  D1(I)=UOLD(I-1)+UOLD(I)*F1(I)-UOLD(I+1)-F2(I)
20 CONTINUE
C **NODE N/2**
  A1(N/2)=2.0
  B1(N/2)=-F1(N/2)
  C1(N/2)=0.0
  D1(N/2)=-2.0*UOLD(N/2-1)+UOLD(N/2)*F1(N/2)-F2(I)
RETURN
END

C ************************************************************
C **          SUBROUTINE REYNOLD
C **          CALCULATING REYNOLD NUMBER BASED ON PARTICLE DIAMETER
C ************************************************************
SUBROUTINE REYNOLD(Re,Ro,dp,VIS,VEL)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,I-O,Z)
DIMENSION UOLD(0:N)
Re=Ro*dp*VEL/VIS
RETURN
END

C **************************************************************
C **          SUBROUTINE THERMALCON
C **          CALCULATING THE THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY USING A CORRELATIVE EXPRESSION
C **************************************************************
SUBROUTINE THERMALCON(Re,PHY,kf,ks,ke,dp,De)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
REAL*8 ke,ks,kf
kf=ks*PHY+(1.0-PHY)*(1.0/(0.18+(2.6/3.0)*kf/kf)))+0.0025*
&Re/(1.0+46.0*(dp/De)**2)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE LOAD2(N,UOLD,TOLD,DELAY,DELX,A2,B2,C2,D2,F,q,ke,kins,
&kglas,kwood,Tamb,Ro,Cp)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
REAL*8 ke,kins,kwood,kglas
DIMENSION DELY(0:N),A2(0:N),B2(0:N),C2(0:N),D2(0:N)
DIMENSION TOLD(0:N),UOLD(0:N),F(0:N)
DO 10 I=1,N-1
   F(I)=(DELX*ke)/(2*R0*UOLD(I)*Cp*DELAY(I)**2)
10 CONTINUE
F(0)=0.0
F(N)=0.0

**NODE 0**
A2(0)=0.0
B2(0)=1.0
C2(0)=0.0
D2(0)=DELAY(0)*q/ke+TOLD(1)

**INTERIOR NODES**
DO 20 I=1,N-1
   A2(I)=F(I)
   B2(I)=(-1.0+2.0*F(I))
   C2(I)=F(I)
   D2(I)=TOLD(I-1)*F(I)+TOLD(I)*((2.0*F(I)-1.0)-TOLD(I+1)*F(I))
20 CONTINUE

**NODE N**
R1=0.05/kins
R2=0.015/kglas
R3=0.03/kwood
R=R1+R2+R3
A2(N)=0.0
B2(N)=1.0
C2(N)=0.0
D2(N)=(TOLD(N-1)+Tamb*DELAY(N)/(ke*R))/(1.0+DELAY(N)/(ke*R))
RETURN
END

**SUBROUTINE THOMAS1**
**SOLVING THE LINEAR ALGEBRic EQUATIONS OF THE MOMENTUM EQUATION**

SUBROUTINE THOMAS1(N,DUOLD,BETA,GAMMA,A1,B1,C1,D1)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION BETA(0:N),GAMMA(0:N),DUOLD(0:N),A1(0:N),B1(0:N),C1(0:N)
&B(0:N)
BETA(0)=B1(0)
GAMMA(0)=D1(0)/B1(0)
DO 10 I=1,N2
   BETA(I)=B1(I)-(A1(I)*C1(I-1)/BETA(I-1))
   GAMMA(I)=(D1(I)-A1(I)*GAMMA(I-1))/BETA(I)
10 CONTINUE
DUOLD(N/2)=GAMMA(N/2)
DO 20 I=N/2,0,-1
  DUOLD(I)=GAMMA(I)-C1(I)*DUOLD(I+1)/BETA(I)
20 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

C**********************************************************************************************
C ** SUBROUTINE THOMAS2
C ** SOLVING THE LINEAR ALGEBRIC EQUATIONS OF THE ENERGY EQUATION
C**********************************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE THOMAS2(N,DTOLD,BETA,GAMMA,A2,B2,C2,D2)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION BETA(0:N),GAMMA(0:N),DTOLD(0:N),A2(0:N),B2(0:N),C2(0:N)
&D2(0:N)
BETA(0)=B2(0)
GAMMA(0)=D2(0)/B2(0)
DO 10 I=1,N
  BETA(I)=B2(I)-(A2(I)^2*C2(I-1)/BETA(I-1))
  GAMMA(I)=(D2(I)-A2(I)*GAMMA(I-1))/BETA(I)
10 CONTINUE
DTOLD(N)=GAMMA(N)
DO 20 I=N-1,0,-1
  DTOLD(I)=GAMMA(I)-C2(I)*DTOLD(I+1)/BETA(I)
20 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

C**********************************************************************************************
C ** SUBROUTINE SOLVE1
C ** SOLVING FOR THE VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION
C**********************************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE SOLVE1(N,J,DUOLD,UOLD)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION DUOLD(0:N),UOLD(0:N)
J=0
DO 10 I=0,N/2
  UOLD(I)=DUOLD(I)+UOLD(I)
  UOLD(N-I)=UOLD(I)
10 CONTINUE
UOLD(0)=0.0
DO 20 I=0,N/2
  IF (ABS(DUOLD(I)) .LT. 1D-12) J=J+1
20 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

C**********************************************************************************************
C ** SUBROUTINE SOLVE2
C ** SOLVING FOR THE TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION
C**********************************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE SOLVE2(N,TNEW,DTOLD)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION DTOLD(0:N),TNEW(0:N)
DO 10 I=0,N
  TNEW(I)=DTOLD(I)
10 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
10 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

C************************************************************************************
C     SUBROUTINE BULKTEMP
C     **   CALCULATING THE BULK TEMPERATURE OF THE FLUID
C************************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE BULKTEMP(N,TNEW,UOLD,Tb)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION TNEW(0:N),UOLD(0:N)
SUM1=0.0
SUM2=0.0
SUM3=0.0
SUM4=0.0
SUM5=0.0
SUM6=0.0
SUM7=0.0
SUM8=0.0
SUM1=TNEW(20)*UOLD(20)+4.0*TNEW(21)*UOLD(21)
DO 10 I=22,N-22
   SUM2=SUM2+2.0*TNEW(I)*UOLD(I)
10 CONTINUE
SUM3=TNEW(80)*UOLD(80)+4.0*TNEW(79)*UOLD(79)
SUM4=SUM1+SUM2+SUM3
SUM5=UOLD(20)+4.0*UOLD(21)
DO 20 I=22,N-22
   SUM6=SUM6+2.0*UOLD(I)
20 CONTINUE
SUM7=UOLD(80)+4.0*UOLD(79)
SUM8=SUM5+SUM6+SUM7
Tb=SUM4/SUM8
RETURN
END

C************************************************************************************
C     SUBROUTINE HEATCOEF
C     **   CALCULATING THE WALL-AIR HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT
C************************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE HEATCOEF(N,DELY,NUS,HCOF,TNEW,Tb,De,kf,ke)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION DELY(0:N),TNEW(0:N)
REAL*8 kf,ke,NUS
HCOF=ke*(TNEW(0)-TNEW(1))/((TNEW(0)-Tb)*DELY(0))
NUS=De*HCOF/kf
RETURN
END

C************************************************************************************
C     SUBROUTINE PRINT
C     **   PRINTING THE CALCULATION RESULTS
C************************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE PRINT(N,X,ICOUNT,Y,UOLD,VEL,TNEW,T0,Po,Tb,q,G,PDROP,
&HCOF,NUS,Re)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
REAL*8 NUS
DIMENSION TNEW(0:N),UOLD(0:N),Y(0:N)
IF (ICOUNT.EQ.0) THEN
  PRINT*, 'OPERATING CONDITIONS:'
  PRINT*, 'To =', T0, 'C'
  PRINT*, 'Po =', Po, 'N/m^2'
  PRINT*, 'G =', G, 'kg/sm^2'
  PRINT*, 'q =', q, 'W/m^2'
  PRINT*, 'Re =', Re
  PRINT*, 'DELP =', PDROP, 'N/m^2'
  PRINT*,
  WRITE(1,10) To
  WRITE(1,20) Po
  WRITE(1,30) G
  WRITE(1,40) q
  WRITE(1,70) PDROP
  WRITE(1,80)
  DO 5 I=0,N
      WRITE(1,90) Y(I),UOLD(I)
  END IF
IF (ABS(X-0.3),LE.1E-5 .OR. ABS(X-0.63),LE.1E-5 .OR. ABS(X-0.95)
     &.LE.1E-5 .OR. ABS(X-1.29),LE.1E-5 .OR. ABS(X-1.6),LE.1E-5) THEN
  PRINT*, 'X = ', X
  PRINT*, 'BULK TEMP = ', Tb
  PRINT*, 'HEAT COEFF = ', HCOF
  PRINT*, 'NUS = ', NUS
  PRINT*,
  WRITE(1,100) X
  WRITE(1,110) Tb
  WRITE(1,120) HCOF
  WRITE(1,130) NUS
  WRITE(1,140)
  DO 15 M=0,N
  WRITE(1,150) Y(M),TNEW(M)
  END IF
10 FORMAT(1, ' OPERATING CONDITIONS: / )
20 FORMAT(1, ' INLET TEMPERATURE = ',10X,F10.3,' (C)')
30 FORMAT(1, ' INLET PRESSURE = ',10X,F10.3,' (N/m^2)')
40 FORMAT(1, ' SUPERFICIAL VELOCITY = ',10X,F10.3,' (m/s)')
50 FORMAT(1, ' MASS FLOW RATE = ',10X,F10.3,' (kg/sm^2)')
60 FORMAT(1, ' HEAT SUPPLIED = ',10X,F10.3,' (W/m^2)')
70 FORMAT(1, ' PRESSURE DROP = ',10X,F10.3,' (N/m^2) ) / )
80 FORMAT(1, 'AXIAL DISTANCE = ',F10.6,' (m)')
90 FORMAT(1, ' LOCAL h = ',F10.3,' (W/Cm^2)')
100 FORMAT(1, ' LOCAL Nu = ',F10.3,' ) / )
110 FORMAT(1, ' BULK AIR TEMPERATURE = ',F10.3,' (C)')
120 FORMAT(1, ' LOCAL h = ',F10.3,' (W/Cm^2)')
130 FORMAT(1, ' LOCAL Nu = ',F10.3,' ) / )
140 FORMAT(1, ' TEMPERATURE = ',F10.4,' (C)')
150 FORMAT(1, ' TEMPERATURE = ',F10.4,' (C)')
RETURN
END
C ************************************************************
C **          SUBROUTINE RESET1                             
C **          TO RESET THE OLD VALUES OF THE TEMPERATURE TO THE NEW ONES
C ************************************************************
C **SUBROUTINE RESET1**
SUBROUTINE RESET1(N,TNEW,TOLD)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION TNEW(0:N),TOLD(0:N)
DO 10 I=0,N
10 TOLD(I)=TNEW(I)
RETURN
END
# APPENDIX B

## PACKED CHANNEL EXPERIMENTAL DATA ANALYSIS

### SET NO. 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric heating power per unit area, Q</td>
<td>W/m²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air inlet temperature, T₀</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air outlet temperature, Tₛₑₓ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature, Tₐₑᵐᵇ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean top plate temperature, Tₖ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial velocity</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Calculated variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass flux</th>
<th>kg/m² s</th>
<th>0.22</th>
<th>0.13</th>
<th>0.22</th>
<th>0.28</th>
<th>0.34</th>
<th>0.42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient, hₖ</td>
<td>W/m²°C</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-dimensional variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modefied Reynolds number, ( Re = \frac{\rho \cdot v \cdot D_p}{\mu (1-\varepsilon)} )</th>
<th></th>
<th>2471</th>
<th>1388</th>
<th>2471</th>
<th>3332</th>
<th>3860</th>
<th>4544</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nusselt number, ( Nu = h_w \cdot D_e / k_f )</td>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Set No. 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>230</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric heating power per unit area, $Q$</td>
<td>W/m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air inlet temperature, $T_{in}$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>27.6 25.8 27.8 27.5 24.9 27.0 25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air outlet temperature, $T_{exit}$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>44.4 39.1 40.0 38.8 36.4 37.2 32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature, $T_{amb}$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>22.0 22.0 22.5 22.5 22.5 22.5 23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean top plate temperature, $T_w$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>55.2 51.4 50.0 45.0 42.4 40.6 37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial velocity</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>0.11 0.15 0.19 0.23 0.29 0.36 0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated variables</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass flux</td>
<td>kg/m²s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient, $h_w$</td>
<td>W/m²°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-dimensional variables</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modified Reynolds number, $Re = \frac{\rho v D_p}{\mu (1-\varepsilon)}$</td>
<td>1388 1860 2471 3332 3860 4544 5137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusselt number, $Nu = h_w D_p / k_f$</td>
<td>116 138 164 198 223 255 293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SET NO. 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric heating power per unit area, $Q$</td>
<td>$W/m^2$</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air inlet temperature, $T_o$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>27.6 25.8 27.8 27.5 24.9 27.0 25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air outlet temperature, $T_{exit}$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>44.4 39.1 40.0 38.8 36.4 37.2 32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature, $T_{amb}$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>22.0 22.0 22.5 22.5 22.5 22.5 23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean top plate temperature, $T_w$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>55.2 51.4 50.0 45.0 42.4 40.6 37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial velocity</td>
<td>$m/s$</td>
<td>0.11 0.15 0.19 0.23 0.29 0.36 0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass flux</td>
<td>$kg/m^2s$</td>
<td>0.12 0.17 0.22 0.27 0.34 0.42 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient, $h_w$</td>
<td>$W/m^2C$</td>
<td>10.3 12.3 14.6 17.6 19.9 22.8 26.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-dimensional variables</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modified Reynolds number, $Re=\rho v D_p/\mu(1-\varepsilon)$</td>
<td>1388 1860 2471 3332 3860 4544 5137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusselt number, $Nu=h_w D_e/k_f$</td>
<td>116 139 164 198 223 255 293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Set No. 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric heating power per unit area, Q</td>
<td>W/m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air inlet temperature, T₀</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air outlet temperature, Tₑₑₑₑ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature, Tₐₐₐₑₑₑₑ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean top plate temperature, Tₘₘₘₘₘₑₑₑₑ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial velocity</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass flux</td>
<td>kg/m²s</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient, hₜₜₜₜₑₑₑₑ</td>
<td>W/m²°C</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-dimensional variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modified Reynolds number, (Re=\frac{\rho \cdot v \cdot D_p}{\mu (1-\varepsilon)})</td>
<td></td>
<td>2471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusselt number, (Nu=h_w \cdot D_e / k_f)</td>
<td></td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C

EMPTY CHANNEL EXPERIMENTAL DATA ANALYSIS

SET NO. 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric heating power per unit area, Q</td>
<td>W/m²</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air inlet temperature, Tᵣ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air outlet temperature, Tₑₑ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature, Tₐₐ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean top plate temperature, Tₜₜ</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial velocity</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass flux</td>
<td>kg/m²s</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient, hₑₑ</td>
<td>W/m²C</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-dimensional variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds number, ( Re = \rho \upsilon D_p / \mu )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusselt number, ( Nu = h_w D_c / k_f )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>470</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Set No. 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric heating power per unit area, $Q$</td>
<td>$W/m^2$</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air inlet temperature, $T_in$</td>
<td>$°C$</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air outlet temperature, $T_{out}$</td>
<td>$°C$</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature, $T_{amb}$</td>
<td>$°C$</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean top plate temperature, $T_w$</td>
<td>$°C$</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial velocity</td>
<td>$m/s$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass flux</td>
<td>$kg/m^2s$</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient, $h_w$</td>
<td>$W/m^2C$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-dimensional variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds number, $Re= \rho \nu D_e / \mu$</td>
<td></td>
<td>264</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusselt number, $Nu=h_w D_e / k_f$</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SET NO. 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>350</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric heating power per unit area, Q</td>
<td>W/m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air inlet temperature, $T_a$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>30.0 29.2 28.9 26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air outlet temperature, $T_{e\text{exit}}$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>43.7 43.3 40.0 34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature, $T_{\text{amb}}$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>22.5 23.0 22.5 22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean top plate temperature, $T_w$</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>81.4 78.3 73.3 56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial velocity</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>0.11 0.19 0.29 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass flux</td>
<td>kg/m²s</td>
<td>0.13 0.22 0.34 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Wall-to-air heat transfer coefficient, $h_w$</td>
<td>W/m²°C</td>
<td>3.4 3.9 4.9 3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-dimensional variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds number, $Re= \rho v D_p / \mu$</td>
<td></td>
<td>264 470 733 976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusselt number, $Nu=h_w D_e / k_f$</td>
<td></td>
<td>38 44 54.8 33.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>