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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background

The study of natural convection in porous media has been a focus of many researchers in the field of petroleum and chemical engineering. Many applications have been attributed to this research including petroleum reservoir engineering, thermal insulation of buildings and process equipment, storage of heat-generating materials like grains, catalysts, coal, etc., nuclear waste disposal, electronics applications and geophysical applications. Although many have contributed to theoretical studies in natural convection in porous media, only a few experimental studies exist. Even less experimental studies exist in problems involving cylindrical and conical objects. [1, 2, 3]

The average mass transfer coefficients can be obtained by limiting diffusion current technique (LDCT). The surface on which mass transfer coefficients are to be determined is made an electrode, associated with proper reference and counter electrodes and kept in a suitable electrolyte to form an electrochemical cell. Detailed description of LDCT is given in this chapter. This technique has advantages over the others because of its (a) simplicity (b) accuracy and (c) speed at which the experiments are performed. Local heat transfer coefficient, for example, can be estimated by using thermocouples and obtaining the temperature profile along the surface on which the transfer coefficient is desired. This method, however, requires a sophisticated experimental setup and does not ensure
accurate data because the presence of the thermocouples modifies the boundary layer. Inferometers can also be used to determine the heat transfer coefficients, but this is difficult to use in case of surfaces embedded in porous media. Mass transfer coefficient can be obtained by measuring the rate of dissolution of a surface in fluid or in a saturated medium, but this produces less accurate data. This is mainly because of the surface shape changes during the process of dissolution causing the geometry to alter. Also, long waiting time is required in order to obtain appreciable dissolution rate. [4, 5]

This work is aimed at obtaining natural convective mass transfer coefficients from cylindrical surfaces embedded in saturated porous media. The obtained data will be used to deduce empirical models for Sherwood numbers of these situations.

### 1.2. Literature R eview

### 1.2.1. Limiting Diffusion Current Technique

An electrochemical reaction, such as deposition of copper from acidified copper sulfate solution, involves essentially two steps. In the first step, ions are convected naturally or forced to the surface of the electrode. The second step is the actual electrochemical reaction that converts ions into metal. The first step is the mass transfer of the ions and it depends on the hydrodynamics and diffusion of the ions into the electrolyte. The second step is purely kinetic and depends on the applied potential and intrinsic kinetic fundamentals of the elemental reaction. The kinetic parameters, in turn depend on the temperature. When the applied potential is increased, the rate of electrochemical reaction
increases. The overall rate of reaction (that includes mass transfer) becomes mass transfer limited as the rate of reaction becomes very fast. The rate of the reaction can now be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{AzF}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ The limiting current
A = Area of the electrode
$\mathrm{z}=$ charge number of the species
F = Faraday's constant
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ Mass transfer coefficient
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are the copper ion concentrations at the bulk and the surface respectively.

At this limiting condition, the concentration of the ions at the surface is essentially zero and Equation (1) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{AzFC}_{\mathrm{b}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2) is used to calculate mass transfer coefficient at any surface for a given hydrodynamic situation. It requires construction of cell of desired hydrodynamics and measurement of the limiting current. The limiting current can be obtained by carrying out a linear polarization experiment.

The mass flux in an electrochemical system is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=-\mathrm{zuFC}_{\mathrm{A}} \nabla \quad-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{A}} \nabla \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{vC}_{\mathrm{A}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=\text { Concentration of the ion } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{v} & =\text { Velocity of the fluid } \\
& =\text { Applied potential } \\
\mathrm{u} & =\text { Mobility of ions }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

The concentration profiles can be obtained by solving Equation (3) with the mass balance equation, continuity equation and Navier-Stokes equation. The last two terms in Equation (3) are due to diffusion and bulk motion and corresponds to any non-electrolytic system. However, the first term is a result of the migration of ions by the presence of an electric field. The mass transfer coefficient estimated by Equation (2) will have effect of migration, if experimental conditions are not carefully chosen to exclude this effect. This could be done by increasing the conductivity of the electrolyte through increasing concentration of supporting electrolyte. This means $\nabla$ will be negligible.

Newman [5] studied the effects of migration on limiting current and produced data for different concentration ratio $\mathrm{r}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) / 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right)$. The ratio (Limiting Current Considering Migration)/ (Limiting Current Neglecting Migration) was plotted against $\sqrt{r_{1}}$ at various concentrations of solution. Using Newman's [5] results, the minimum concentration of the supporting electrolyte to produce negligible migration of ions can be estimated. It gives $\Gamma_{1}=0.99$. For example, if the concentration of copper ions is 0.0529 M , the solution should contain at least 2.46 M sulfuric acid to avoid any migration effect. $[4,5]$

There are some options available for reaction systems used in electrochemical mass transfer studies. The following are examples of model reactions used [4]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e} ? \mathrm{Il} \mathrm{Cd}  \tag{4}\\
& \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e} ? \grave{\mathrm{U} C u}  \tag{5}\\
& \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})^{3-}{ }_{6}+\mathrm{e} ? \ddot{\mathrm{u} ~ \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})^{4-}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

For studies of convection mass transfer, the copper deposition reaction is preferred for the following advantages: (a) $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ has a relatively high solubility at room temperature; (b) the electrode reaction does not produce a soluble product species and (c) the limiting current plateau is very well defined in this system. Deposition of copper from moderately concentrated solutions results in large density differences between bulk and surface solution resulting in large driving forces for natural convection. [6]

Wragg [7] referred to a problematic aspect of using a metal deposition process in LDCT work as is the case of using copper systems. Scan rate has a major effect on the value obtained for the limiting current. Very slow scan rate results into surface roughening of electrode and hence incorrect steady state values will be obtained. In very fast scan rates, on the other hand, limiting currents are detected in their transition to steady state values and hence incorrect values obtained. This illustrates that scan rates in metal deposition experiments must carefully be selected to obtain accurate values for the limiting currents. The use of dilute electrolyte is also detrimental to avoid the roughening problem. Ponce-
de-Leon and Field [8] developed a technique to determine the limiting diffusion current when the plot of normalized resistance against the reciprocal of current does not exhibit well defined plateau. This work establishes the limiting current, for the absence of a clearly defined plateau, using E/I against 1/I plot. It uses a prescribed procedure to extract the value of limiting current.

### 1.2.2. Natural Convection from Plane Vertical Surfaces Embedded in

## Porous Media

Natural convection about a heated vertical surface placed in porous media was studied by Cheng and Minkowycz [9] where they obtained similarity solutions based on Darcy's law and boundary layer approximations for the case of constant discharge velocity of injection water and uniform temperature. Forr an arbitrary temperature profile given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{T}_{8 \mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{Ax} \mathrm{x}^{? \tilde{\mathrm{a}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where; $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ Temperature at the wall or surface, $\mathrm{T}_{8 \mathrm{a}}=$ Temperature far from the surface, A and $\lambda$ are constants, the local heat flux at the heated plate is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime \prime}=-k\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right)_{y=0}=k A^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{g K}{v \alpha_{m}}\right)^{1 / 2} x^{(3 \lambda-1) / 2}\left[-\theta^{\prime}(0)\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation:
B̊ㅕㅜ Thermal expansion coefficient
$a \mathrm{Q}=$ Thermal diffusivity for porous media
$\theta=\frac{T-T_{\infty}}{T_{w}-T_{\infty}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{g}=\text { acceleration of gravity } \\
& \mathrm{x}=\text { vertical length } \\
& \mathrm{V}=\text { average velocity parallel to surface }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1 shows the values of ? $\because(0)$ at different values of ??I The case of ?\#0 corresponds to a constant wall temperature which is analogous to the constant concentration in mass transfer. For $? \mathfrak{\ddot { u }} 0, ? \mathfrak{u}(0)=0.444$, which can be substituted in Equation (8).

Cheng and Hsu [10] and Joshi and Gebhart [11] examined higher order effects to extend the applicability range of the boundary-layer analysis. A number of studies have considered various non-Daracian effects on the same problem. Bejan and Poulikakos [12] and Plumb and Huenefeld [13] used Forchheimer's equation to include inertia effects. Hsu and Cheng [14] and Evan and Plumb [15] studied boundary effects on heat transfer based on Brinkman's equation. They found good agreement with Cheng and Mickowycz theory for $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{x}}<400$. Kim and Vafai [16] employed Brinkman-extended Darcy model. Cheng, Ali and Verma [17] used 3-mm glass packing and observed reasonable match with theory for $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{x}}<300$. Kaviany and Mittal [18, 19] preformed experiments with high permeability polyurethane foams with air. Most of their data are in agreement with their theory based on Brinkman-Forschheimer formulation. In their experiments, inertial effects are not significant because Rayleigh numbers are not high.

Table 1: Values of -? $\times(0)$ for various values of ? for the heated vertical plate problem (Cheng and M inkowycz [9])

| $\mathbf{? l}$ | $\mathbf{- ? Y ( 0 )}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-1 / 3$ | 0 |  |
| $-1 / 4$ | 0.162 |  |
| 0 | 0.444 | isothermal |
| $1 / 4$ | 0.630 |  |
| $1 / 3$ | 0.678 | uniform flux |
| $1 / 2$ | 0.761 |  |
| $3 / 4$ | 0.892 |  |
| 1 | 1.001 |  |

Rahman, et al. [20] have obtained mass transfer coefficients from vertical surfaces embedded in various packing sizes of glass spheres of $16,6,4$ and 3 mm diameter as well as beach sand. Rayleigh numbers in this study were high. They have compared their data with available models and observed that Bejan-Poulikakos model based on Forschheimer's equation matches the experimental observation. They produced the following model for the glass packing material:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}=3.32\left(\frac{\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}}{\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)^{0.26} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}} \\
& R \mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}=\frac{\mathrm{gKL}\left(/_{\infty}-1\right)}{\mathrm{VD}_{\mathrm{e}}} \\
& \mathrm{Da}=\frac{\mathrm{K}}{\varepsilon \mathrm{~L}^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{D} \mathrm{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{D}=$ Molecular diffusivity of cupric ions in acidic solution
$\mathrm{L}=$ Hight of the plate
$e z$ Porosity
$t=$ Tortuousity of the porous media
$\mathrm{V}=$ Kinematic viscosity

### 1.2.3. Natural Convection fromEmbedded Vertical Cylinders

Minkowycz and Cheng [21] gave an approximate solution for heat transfer in the case of vertical cylinders embedded in porous media. The following equations describe the problem of steady natural convection about a vertical cylinder of radius R embedded in a saturated porous medium with a prescribed wall temperature.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\mathrm{rv})+\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{ru})=0  \tag{10}\\
& \mathrm{u}=-\frac{\mathrm{K}}{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{p}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+? \mathrm{~g}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& \mathrm{v}=-\frac{\mathrm{K}}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathrm{p}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}  \tag{12}\\
& \mathrm{u} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{v} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}=\alpha\left[\underset{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{r} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}\right]  \tag{13}\\
& \quad={ }_{\infty}\left[1-\quad\left(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{T}_{\infty}\right)\right] \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary conditions for this problem are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{v}=0, \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}} \quad \text { at } \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{R}  \tag{15}\\
& \mathrm{u} \rightarrow 0, \mathrm{~T} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\infty} \text { at } \mathrm{r}=\infty \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

For the case of constant wall temperature, the ratio of the local surface heat flux for a cylinder $\left(q_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to that of a vertical plate $\left(q^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q_{c}^{\prime \prime}}{q^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{\left[-\theta^{\prime}(\xi, 0, \lambda)\right]}{\left.-\theta^{\prime}(0, \lambda)\right]} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where curvature parameter $? 3$ and $\mathrm{q}^{\prime \prime}$ are defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{2 \mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{/ 2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the local heat flux from flat vertical surface is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime \prime}=-k\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right)_{y=0}=k A^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{g \mathrm{k}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}}\right)^{1 / 2} x^{(3 \lambda-1) / 2}[-? \mathrm{~L}(0)] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $-? \widetilde{\alpha}(0)$ is 0.444 for the constant temperature case $(\lambda=0)$ as shown in Table 1.
Table 2 shows the values of $\left[-?^{\prime}(?, 0, ?)\right]$ for different values of ? and ?.

Huang and Chen [22] studied the effects of surface suction or blowing in a case of a cylinder subject to uniform heat flux density. Two models were used: two-temperature model and one-temperature model. Kimura [23] studied the transient problem and showed that the transient heat transfer for both forced and natural convection can be expressed in a unified manner with a single parameter representing the curvature effect of the cylinder surface. Yucel and Lai et al. [24, 25] studied combined heat and mass transfer problems in vertical cylinders. The mixed convection along a slender cylinder with variable surface heat flux considering Darcian flow problems were studied by Pop et al. [26]. This study also analyzed the effects of surface curvature and buoyancy in surface heat flux. The numerical solution of the transformed equations was obtained using the Keller box method to study the significant influence of these factors on the flow and heat transfer characteristics. The mixed convection along a cylinder with variable surface heat flux was analyzed by Aldoss et al [27]. When non-Darcy model was applied and nonsimilarity solutions were obtained for the case of variable wall temperature.

# Table 2: Values of -? $>\times(0)$ for various values of ? for constant wall temperature ? >0 for the vertical cylinder case (C heng and M inkowycz [21]) 

| $\mathbf{? -}$ | $\mathbf{- ?} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{0}$ ) at $\boldsymbol{? = 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.25 | 0.4855 |
| 0.50 | 0.5272 |
| 0.75 | 0.5664 |
| 1.00 | 0.6049 |
| 2.00 | 0.7517 |
| 3.00 | 0.8915 |
| 4.00 | 1.024 |
| 5.00 | 1.154 |
| 6.00 | 1.283 |
| 7.00 | 1.413 |
| 8.00 | 1.544 |
| 9.00 | 1.678 |
| 10.00 | 1.815 |
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Kumari and Nath [28] and Aldoss [29] analyzed the mixed convection problem for conduction fluids under magnetic fluid.

### 1.2.4. Natural Convection from Embedded Horizontal Cylinders

Merkin [30] studied the problem of a horizontal cylinder embedded in porous media. This is a special case of a general two-dimensional heated object that he analyzed. For the large Rayleigh number, the heat transfer coefficient can by calculated using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Nu}=0.565 \mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{1 / 2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Nu}=\frac{\mathrm{hD}}{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \mathrm{Ra} *_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{\operatorname{gdK}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}-\mathrm{T}_{\infty}\right)}{\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}} \\
& \alpha_{\mathrm{m}}=\text { Thermal diffusivity for porous media } \\
& \overline{\mathrm{h}}=\text { average heat transfer coefficient }
\end{aligned}
$$

The results were later generalized by Chen and Chen [31] for fluids with non-Newtonian viscosities. They extended it to power law fluids. Fand et al. [32] studied heat transfer problems and conducted experiments in porous media packed with random glass spheres using different fluids. This study suggested the following relationships for different ranges of Reynolds numbers:

For $0.001<\operatorname{Re}_{\max }=\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}$
$\mathrm{Nu} \operatorname{Pr}^{0.0877}=0.618 \mathrm{Ra}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{0.698}+8.54 \times 10^{6} \operatorname{Ge} \operatorname{Sech}\left(\operatorname{Ra} *_{\mathrm{D}}\right) \quad$ for $0.001<\operatorname{Re}_{\max } \leq 3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Nu} \mathrm{Pr}{ }^{0.0877}=0.766 \mathrm{Ra} *_{\mathrm{D}}^{0.37}\left(\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}}\right)^{0.173} \text { for } 3<\operatorname{Re}_{\max } \leq 100 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Nu}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \mathrm{Ge}=\frac{\mathrm{g} \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1} \text { and } \mathrm{C}_{2} \text { are constants. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The problem of horizontal cylinders embedded in a semi-infinite porous media was analyzed by Farouk and Shayer [33]. The heat transfer experiments of this problem were done by Fernandez and Schrock [34]. Cheng [34] studied the problem of mixed convection about a horizontal cylinder. Others, like Sano [36], Ingham et al. [37], Pop et al. [38, 39], Tyvand [40], Sundfor and Tyvand [41] and Bradean et al. [42] used detailed analytical and numerical analysis of transient natural convection from embedded horizontal cylinders.

### 1.2.5. Natural Convection fromEmbedded Vertical Cones

Considerable analytical and numerical works are available in the literature due to the importance of cone and conical frustum embedded in porous media in many applications. Cheng et al. [43] analyzed the problem of conical frustum with a power law temperature or a power law heat flux at the surface. Results can be summarized as follows for constant wall temperature:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.769 \mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }_{\mathrm{x}}^{1 / 2} \\
\text { where, } \mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{\left.\mathrm{gK} \mathrm{\cos ( } \mathrm{\gamma)(T}_{\mathrm{w}}-\mathrm{T}_{\infty}\right) \mathrm{x}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\mathrm{x}=$ distance from apex along the surface.

For constant wall heat flux:

$$
\begin{gather*}
N u_{x}=\frac{R \mathrm{Ra}}{1.056}  \tag{24}\\
\text { where, } \hat{R} a=\frac{g \mathrm{~K} \cdot \cos (\gamma) \mathrm{q}_{w} \mathrm{x}^{2}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Pop and Cheng [44] included the curvature effect. Corresponding non-Darcian problems were addressed by Vasantha et al. [45], Nakayama et al. [46]. The problem of combined heat and mass transfer was analyzed in porous media saturated with Newtonian fluids by Yih [47, 48, 49] and with non-Newtonian fluids by Yih [50] and Yang and Wang [51]. Triphathi et al. [52] and Ramanaiah and Malarvizhi [53] included the effect of thermal stratification of the porous media. Heat and mass transfer was also analyzed by Rahman and Faghri [54, 55, 56]. Hydro-magnetic effect of the conducting fluid starting the porous media has been included by Kafoussias [57] and Chamkha [58]. Natural convection form a frustum of a wavy cone embedded in saturated porous media was analyzed by Pop and Na [59].
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## CHAPTER 2

## DESCRIPTION OF THE PROBLEM

From the presented literature review it is evident that there are no experimental data available on natural mass/heat transfer from vertical cylindrical surfaces embedded in saturated porous media. These data are essential to validate/discriminate different theoretical studies and to analyze several engineering application. In this work, the mass transfer coefficients for vertical cylinders are obtained using limiting diffusion current technique. This technique utilizes cupric ion reduction on the vertical cylindrical surfaces, which are embedded in the desired porous medium.

## CHAPTER 3

## EXPERIMENTAL SET-UPAND PROCEDURE

### 3.1. Preparation of Copper Electrodes and Porous M edia

Cylindrical copper electrodes of a wide range of sizes were prepared to cover a considerable range of Rayleigh number. The bigger cylinders were fabricated from commercial copper tubes while for the smaller diameter copper wires were used. The bigger cylinders were welded with copper wire for electrical connections. Ends of the tubes were carefully sealed. For smaller diameter cylinders, a copper wire was stretched in a specially designed holder as shown in Figure 1. The surfaces of these cylinders were masked with an insulating paint such as to provide desired mass transfer area. Diameter and length of the cylinders were varied as shown in Table 3. The porous media were prepared using packing material of glass spheres of diameters $2,3,4,6 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figure 1: H older for small diameter cylinders.
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Table 3: Diameter and length of copper cylinders used in this work

| Sample | Diameter (mm) | Length(cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cylinder 1 | 0.65 | $1.0,2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0,6.0,7.0$ |
| Cylinder 2 | 0.10 | $1.0,2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0,6.0,7.0$ |
| Cylinder 3 | 3.16 | $1.0,2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0,6.0,7.0$ |
| Cylinder 4 | 9.00 | $1.0,2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0,6.0,7.0$ |
| Cylinder 5 | 13.00 | $1.0,2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0,6.0,7.0$ |
| Cylinder 6 | 22.00 | $1.0,2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0,6.0,7.0$ |
| Cylinder 7 | 28.00 | $1.0,2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0,6.0,7.0$ |

### 3.2. The Experimental Setup

The experimental set-up used in this study is illustrated in Figure 2. The copper surface, on which the mass transfer coefficient is to be determined, functions as working electrode in the electrochemical cell. The working electrode was embedded in a bed of various porous material made of glass spheres. A copper cylinder kept inside the bed near to the wall acts as the counter electrode. A saturated calomel electrode functions as the reference electrode and was embedded in the porous media. Copper reduction from acidic cupric sulfate solution was used to estimate mass transfer coefficients for the advantages mentioned earlier.

The porous media was formed using different glass packing material in a one liter cylindrical beaker. A solution $0.0529 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ and $3.03 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was prepared. Concentrations of $\mathrm{Cu}^{++}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ were determined through idiometric and acid-base titration procedures. Porosities of the packing material were determined by water replacement method, where the amount of water necessary to fill the void between the glasses spheres was measured.

The copper surfaces were cleaned using emery papers of different sizes (100, 400, 600 and finally 1500 grit sizes). Subsequently, they were cleaned with acetone to remove any grease or oil. If any surface is to be insulated, an insulating paint was used.


Figure 2: Experimental Set-up Illustration
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The temperature of the solution was measured and recorded using a thermometer of $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ least count. The working electrode, counter electrode and the reference electrode were connected to a potentionstat (Model 273A, EG\&G PARC). The potentiostat was driven by a manufacturer software package (Model 352, EG\&G PARC) via a microcomputer. Linear polarization curves were obtained for all samples in all packing materials (Appendix A). The electrochemical parameters maintained during the experiments are listed in Table 4. Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the photographs of the experimental set-up and the electrochemical cell.

Table 4: Parameters of linear polarization experiments.

| Parameter | Value | Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Conditioning Time | 60 | seconds |
| Initial Potential | -50.00 | mV SCE |
| Conditioning Potential | -100.00 | mV SCE |
| Final Potential | -850.0 | mV SCE |
| Scan Rate | 1.500 | $\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Scan Increase | 1.000 | mV |
| Step Time | $666.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | VFRQG |
| Reference Electrode | 241.5 | mV SCE |
| Sample Area | 1.000 | $\mathrm{~cm}{ }^{2}$ |

Created by alientools PDF Generator, trial version, to remove this mark, please register this software.


Figure 3: Photograph of the experimental setup
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Figure 4: Electrochemical cell for measurement of the limiting current
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### 3.3. Data Reduction

### 3.3.1. Physicochemical Properties of Electrolyte

It is necessary to use accurate data for of the physicochemical properties of the electrolyte solution. The density, viscosity and diffusivity of $\mathrm{Cu}^{++}$are necessary in calculating the mass transfer coefficient. The following correlations are used to estimate the properties of acidic cupric sulfate solution [20]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left(\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)=0.999448+0.14807 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}+0.060816 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid }}-4.246 \times 10^{-4} \Delta \mathrm{~T}+0.00151\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{2} \\
& -7.043 \times 10^{-4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid }}\right)^{2}-4.47 \times 10^{-6} \Delta \mathrm{~T}^{2}-0.00456 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid }}-6.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}} \Delta \mathrm{~T}+  \tag{25}\\
& 1.81 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid }} \Delta \mathrm{T}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mu($ poise $)=0.01 \times\left[0.89954+0.4537 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}+0.14063 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid }}-0.019235 \Delta \mathrm{~T}+0.232\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{2}+\right.$

$$
\mu(\text { poise })=0.01 \times\left[0.89954+0.4537 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}+0.14063 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid }}-0.019235 \Delta \mathrm{~T}+0.232\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{2}+\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0.02894\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid }}\right)^{2}+0.000321 \Delta \mathrm{~T}^{2}+0.09496 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid }}-0.0154 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}} \Delta \mathrm{~T}-0.004953 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid }} \Delta \mathrm{T}\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Cu++}}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right)=((\mathrm{T}+273.15) / \mu) \times 2.09 \times 10^{-10} \quad\left(\right.$ when $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}<0.09 \mathrm{M}\right)$
$D_{\mathrm{Cu}++}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right)=((\mathrm{T}+273.15) / \mu)\left(1.98+2.34 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \times 10^{-10}\left(\right.$ when $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}} \geq 0.09 \mathrm{M}\right)$
Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ? \ddot{\mathrm{e}}=(\mathrm{T}-25)^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}=\text { bulk concentration of copper sulfate }(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{liter}) \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Acid}}=\text { bulk concentration of acid }(\mathrm{mol} / \text { liter }) \\
& \mu \# \text { Viscosity (poise) }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3.2. Determining Sherwood and Rayleigh Numbers

The mass transfer coefficient calculated by Equation (2) was used in the calculation of the Sherwood number:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{D} \quad \text { and } \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $D_{e}=$ Dełtx and $K=d_{p}{ }^{2} e^{2} 80(1-e)^{2}$. The average modified Rayleigh number is calculated using the following relationships:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{gL}^{3}(? 4 / 2 \nmid 2-1) / \quad \mathrm{D} \text { and } \quad \mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}=\mathrm{gKL}(? 4 / 2 \downarrow 2-1) / \quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where density at the surface is denoted by ?Áand is calculated using the relationship given in previous section, substituting a value of zero for the concentration of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$. The concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ at the surface can be estimated using the following relationship [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Acid}, \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Acid}^{2} \mathrm{~b}}-\left[\frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cu}^{++}}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{H}^{++}}}\right]^{1-\mathrm{n}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{H}^{++}}}{1-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cu}^{++}}}\right] \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}}=\left(0.2633-0.1020 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid, }}\right) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}} \\
& \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}=0.8156-0.2599 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}-0.1089 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right)=((\mathrm{T}+273.15) / \mu)\left(5.655+3.274 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}+0.831 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Acid, }}\right) \times 10^{-10}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER 5

## RESULTSAND DISCUSSION

### 5.1. Polarization Curves

The linear polarization curves obtained for all cylinders in free solution and in saturated porous media are compiled in Appendix A. Figure 5a shows a typical polarization curve. The polarization curves exhibit three regions. In the first region, the current increases with increasing potential. In this region the overall reaction is controlled by both mass transfer and electrochemical reaction. In the second region, the current becomes almost constant that manifests itself as a plateau in the polarization curve. The over all rate of reaction is determined by mass transfer only. The current corresponding to the plateau is called the limiting current and is recorded. In the third region, the current increases sharply associated with lots of gas bubbling on the electrode surface. This is due to the hydrolysis of water. The current in this region corresponds to the overall rate of reaction for hydrolysis of water.

In Figure 5 b, a sharp increase is noticed in the current in the beginning. The experiment starts with -50.00E-3 V SCE which makes the working electrode an anode. Therefore, in the beginning of the experiment slight anodic dissolution of the electrode takes place. It increases local copper ion concentration. As the potential increases, the electrode becomes a cathode and deposition of copper ions starts to take place. Since the local
concentration of copper ions was high, the polarization curve shows a sharp increase in the current as soon as the potential becomes cathodic.


Figure 5: Typical polarization curve for cylinders in free solution

Once all the dissolved ions are deposited, the current returns to its value that correspond to the bulk copper ion concentration.

### 5.2.Natural Convection on Vertical Cylinders of Various

## Aspect R atios in Free Solution

The average mass transfer coefficients estimated by Equation (2) are plotted against embedded lengths for free solution in Figure 6 through Figure 12. The mass transfer coefficients decrease with increasing length, conforming to the inferences of the boundary-layer theory. The mass transfer coefficient is the highest in the case of free solution because of the low resistance to the rate of transfer. The data were acquired at room temperature which remained almost constant during an experiment but varied between different experiments. The temperatures were recorded accurately for each experiment. Since the physico-chemical properties of the solution are strong functions of temperature, the measured mass transfer coefficients have significant influence of randomly varying temperature. Therefore the dependency of the mass transfer coefficient on packing material cannot be established on the basis of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}$ vs. x curves without nondimensionalization.

Average Sherwood number $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is plotted against $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for various cylinders in Figure 13. The data exhibit power law relationship indicated by straight lines on logarithmic plots. For larger cylinders, all data seem to fall on one single line corresponding to the vertical


Figure 6: Mass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 1 in free solution


Figure 7: Mass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 2 in free solution


Figure 8: Mass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 3 in free solution


Figure 9: Mass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 4 in free solution


Figure 10: Mass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 5 in free solution


Figure 11: Mass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 6 in free solution


Figure 12: Mass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 7 in free solution


Figure 13: Average Sherwood Number vs. Rayleigh Numbers for Different C ylinders in F ree Solution
flat plate, for which mathematical expression was developed using the boundary layer theory [60]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.677 \mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{0.25}\left[\frac{0.952}{\mathrm{Sc}}+1\right]^{-.025} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Sc}=\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{D}$
The Sherwood numbers for smaller diameter cylinders are relatively higher. In other words, the effect of the curvature is perceptible only for very small cylinders (Cylinder 1, Cylinder 2 and Cylinder 3). Ravoo et al [61] studied the effect of the curvature on the transport process. Corresponding mass transfer equation is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
? 6=3 / 80 a^{4}+16 / 225 \text { a }{ }^{5}+19 / 252 \text { a } 06+17 / 294 a^{2}+\ldots \ldots . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, ?9 $=\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{RRa}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1 / 4}$, which is a dimensionless curvature parameter, and $1 / \mathrm{a} 9=\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{L}}$, where, $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{D}$, which is a Sherwood number based on the radius of the cylinder.

Equation (32) exhibits a straight line behavior at small values of curvature parameter (?). At these low values, the mass transfer for cylinders can be approximated to that of flat plate. The effect of curvature becomes significant at higher values of ? TMnfluenced by high value of aspect ratio. Figure 14 shows the experimental data in agreement with the model at low values of ?Lwhere the data are in close agreement with flat surfaces. As ?L exceeds 0.1 , the effect of curvature becomes significant and the flat surfaces approximation is not valid. The experimental data are in agreement with Equation (32), however it underpredicts $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{L}}$ for very small diameters.

## Free Ravoo et al



Figure 14: Average Sherwood Number vs. curvature parameter for different Cylinders in free solution.

### 5.3. Natural Convection on Vertical Cylinders of Various

## Aspect Ratios in Saturated Packed Media

Polarization experiments were performed for seven different vertical lengths of six different cylinders embedded in porous media made of glass spheres of $6 \mathrm{~mm}, 4 \mathrm{~mm}, 3$ mm and 2 mm diameters. The polarization curves for each data-point in a representative run are given in Appendix A.

The average mass transfer coefficients estimated by Equation (2) are plotted against the vertical distance from the leading edge (x) in various packing media sizes in Figure 15 through Figure 20. Similar to the case of natural convection in free solution, the mass transfer coefficients decrease with increasing length, conforming to the boundary-layer theory. In general, the mass transfer coefficient increases with the particle size of the packing, approaching the values for the free solution as shown. Because the temperature varied between different experiments, the dependency of the mass transfer coefficient on packing material cannot be established on the basis of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{vs}$. x curves without nondimensionalization. Therefore, the average values of $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}$ were calculated.

Figure 21 through Figure 26 show $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}$ vs. $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}$ plots. In each of these figures values for all four packings have been plotted for one cylinder. Straight lines on the logarithmic scales indicate a power-law relationship between Sherwood number and Rayleigh number. Since the slope of the line does not change with Rayleigh number, it can be inferred that the flow was in the laminar regime for all data points. The value of

Sherwood number increases with size of the packing material, approaching towards those for the free solution.

The effect that curvature has on the mass transfer coefficients in porous media is shown in Figure 27 through Figure 30. In each of these figures, $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is plotted against $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for all six cylinders while embedded in one packing material. As expected, the mass transfer coefficients for smaller cylinders are higher. However, the effect of the curvature is perceptible only for very small cylinders (Cylinder 1 and Cylinder 2).


Figure 15 : M ass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 1 in porous media


Figure 16: M ass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 2 in porous media


Figure 17: M ass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 4 in porous media


Figure 18: M ass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 5 in porous media


Figure 19: M ass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 6 in porous media


Figure 20: M ass transfer coefficient vs. vertical distance for Cylinder 7 in porous media


Figure 21: Average Sherwood number vs. Rayleigh number for Cylinder 1 in porous media


Figure 22: Average Sherwood number vs. Rayleigh number for Cylinder 2 in porous media


Figure 23: A verage Sherwood number vs. R ayleigh number for Cylinder 4 in porous media


Figure 24: Average Sherwood number vs. Rayleigh number for Cylinder 5 in porous media


Figure 25: Average Sherwood number vs. Rayleigh number for Cylinder 6 in porous media


Figure 26: Average Sherwood number vs. Rayleigh number for Cylinder 7 in porous media


Figure 27: A verage Sherwood number vs. Rayleigh number for various cylinders in porous media of 6 mm glass spheres


Figure 28: Average Sherwood number vs. Rayleigh number for various cylinders in porous media of 4 mm glass spheres


Figure 29: Average Sherwood number vs. Rayleigh number for various cylinders in 3 mm glass spheres


Figure 30: A verage Sherwood number vs. Rayleigh number for various cylinders in porous media of $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ m m}$ glass spheres

The modified average Sherwood number $\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}\right)$ calculated using effective diffusivity is plotted against the modified Rayleigh number ( $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}$ ) in Figure 31 through Figure 36 for each cylinder. The experimental data for different packing materials form straight lines of approximately the same slope. $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}$ decreases with increasing particle size for a given $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}$. However, it is difficult to correlate all the data in one equation using these plots.

Kim and Vafai [16] used Brinkman-extended Darcy model to predict heat transfer coefficients from vertical flat plates embedded in porous media. They have shown that a relation between $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}$ and. $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*} / \mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}$ exists. Rahman et al [20] have obtained experimental data using LDCT for vertical flat plates embedded in saturated porous media and proposed following correlation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}(\text { Plate })=3.32\left(\frac{\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}}{\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)^{0.26} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following these studies, the $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}} *$ is plotted vs. $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}} * / \mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for cylinders in all sizes of packing in Figure 37 through Figure 40. Although the data points for all cylinders are close to each other, but no single equation still can represent the whole set of the data.

Data for smaller cylinders exhibit higher modified Sherwood numbers with respect to $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*} / \mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}$. This could be explained by the effect that curvature has on the mass transfer. This was also observed in the case of cylinders in free solution. Larger diameter cylinders can be approximated by flat plate correlations


Figure 31: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. M odified Rayleigh number for

## C ylinder 1 in porous media
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Figure 32: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. M odified Rayleigh number for Cylinder 2 in porous media
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Figure 33: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. M odified Rayleigh number for Cylinder 4 in porous media
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Figure 34: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. M odified Rayleigh number for Cylinder 5 in porous media
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Figure 35: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. M odified Rayleigh number for Cylinder 6 in porous media
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Figure 36: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. M odified Rayleigh number for Cylinder 7 in porous media
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Figure 37: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. $R \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{L}} * / D \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for various cylinders embedded in porous media of $6 \mathbf{~ m m}$ diameter glass spheres


Figure 38: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. R $a_{L}{ }^{*} / D a_{L}$ for various cylinders in porous media of $4 \mathbf{m m}$ diameter glass spheres


Figure 39: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. R $a_{L}{ }^{*} / D a_{L}$ for various cylinders in porous media of $\mathbf{3 ~ m m}$ diameter glass spheres
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Figure 40: Average M odified Sherwood number vs. R $a_{L} * / D a_{L}$ for various cylinders in porous of $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ m m}$ diameter glass spheres

Minkowycz and Cheng [21] obtained an approximate solution for the problem of natural convection about a vertical cylinder with power-law wall temperature in porous media. For a given value of the power law exponent ?p they found that the ratio of local surface heat flux of a cylinder ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) to that of a flat plate ( $\mathrm{q}^{\prime \prime}$ ) is a nearly linear function of a curvature parameter ? ?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime \prime}}{\mathrm{q}^{\prime \prime}}=1+\mathrm{C}^{\prime} ? \tilde{a} \text { for } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{T}_{8 \mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{Ax}^{? \tilde{a}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, ? $\operatorname{Fr} / \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad$ and C is a function of $\lambda$. For the case of constant surface temperature $\lambda$ should be equal to zero. This case is analogous to constant surface concentration which represents the conditions of present experiments. Equation (34) in terms of Sherwood number can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*} / \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}} *(\text { Plate })=1+\mathrm{C}^{\prime} ? \mathrm{x} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $93 / 4$, the value of $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ is 0.3 . Using the empirical correlation for flat plate given by Rahman et al [20] given in Equation (33), the Sherwood number for the cylinders can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}=\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}}{\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)^{0.26} *\left[1+\frac{0.6 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}\right)^{-0.5}\right] \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (45) suggests that all mass transfer data can be fitted in a straight line if $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}$ is plotted against $\left(\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}} * / \mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{0.25} *\left(1+0.6 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{*-0.5}\right)$. This is done in Figure 41, which shows a good straight line fit of slope 3.29 with $r^{2}=0.99$. The equation of the fitted line is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}=3.29\left(\frac{\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}}{\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)^{0.26} *\left[1+\frac{0.6 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}\right)^{-0.5}\right] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (37) can be used to predict natural convective mass transfer coefficients from vertical cylinders embedded in saturated porous media.

Table 5 shows the ranges of various parameter within which these results are expected to predict well.


Figure 41: ${S h_{L}}^{*}$ vs. $\left(R a_{L}{ }^{*} / D a_{L}\right)^{0.26}\left(1+0.6 L / R R a_{L}{ }^{*-0.5}\right)$ for cylinders in porous media of different packing sizes

Table 5: R anges of various parameters in this study

| Parameter | Range |
| :--- | :---: |
| Diameter of cylinders | $0.65-28.00 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Height of cylinders | $1.0-7.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Packing particle diameter | $2.0-6.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Temperature | $20.5-25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*}$ | $6 \times 10^{4}-6.1 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $1.40 \times 10^{-6}-1.02 \times 10^{-3}$ |

## CHAPTER 6

## CONCLUSIONS

Natural convection mass transfer coefficients from cylinders in free acidic cupric sulfate solution and in saturated porous medium, were obtained. The limiting diffusion current technique (LDCT) based on cupric ion deposition has been used. The obtained data for this geometry in quiescent solution match with those obtained in previous studies. When they are embedded in the porous media made of identical glass spheres, the mass transfer coefficient depends on the permeability. It was possible to correlate the data using modified Rayleigh number and Darcy numbers. In the studied range of the parameters, following correlations could be used to predict the mass transfer coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}=3.29\left(\frac{\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}}{\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)^{0.26} *\left[1+\frac{0.6 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}\right)^{-0.5}\right] \quad \text { for embedded cylinders } \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

## NOMENCLATURE

| A | Mass transfer area |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | Constant in Equation (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | Constants in Equation (22) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {A }}$ | Concentration of species A |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Bulk concentration of copper ions |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s }}$ | Surface concentration of copper ions |
| d | Cylinder diameter |
| D | Molecular diffusivity of cupric ions in acidic solution |
| DaL | Darcy's numbers for cylinders/plates |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {e }}$ | effective diffusivity |
| F | Faraday's constant |
| g | Acceleration due to gravity |
| Gr | Grashof number |
| П | average heat transfer coefficient |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | limiting current |
| K | Permeability |
| k | Thermal conductivity |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | average mass transfer coefficient |
| L | Height of the cylinder/plate |
| Nu | Nusselt number |
| Pr | Prandtl number |


| q" | Heat flux from vertical plate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{qc}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\text {P }}$ | Heat flux from cylinder |
| r | Radial coordinate |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1}$ | Concentration ratio of hydrogen and sulfate ions |
| R | Radius of cylinder |
| $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | Average Rayleigh number |
| Ra* ${ }_{\text {x }}, \mathrm{Ra}^{*} \mathrm{~L}$ | Modified Rayleigh number for vertical plate/cylinder |
| $\mathrm{Ra}^{*}{ }_{\text {D }}$ | Modified Rayleigh number for horizontal cylinders |
| Ra | Rayleigh number defined in Equation (24) |
| Sc | Schmidt number |
| $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | Average Sherwood number for vertical plate/cylinder |
| Sh ${ }_{\text {L }}$ | Average modified Sherwood number estimated for vertical plate/cylinder |
| Sh ${ }_{\text {r-L }}$ | Average Sherwood number (Equation 32) |
| $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{8 \mathrm{a}}$ | Temperature at wall and in bulk. |
| t | Transference number |
| xand y | Axial coordinates |
| z | Number of electrons in reduction reaction |

?)
Curvature parameter defined in Equation (31)
?L
? 1
?
$\varepsilon$
$\lambda$
$\xi$
$\theta$
Kinematic viscosity

Density at surface
Dulk density
Porosity

A constant in Equation (7)
Curvature parameter defined in Equation (18)

Dimensionless temperature

Potential
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